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1 Introduction
1.1 Background to the study

Red Kite Environment, Touchstone Heritage Management Consultants and Anglezarke Dixon Associates were appointed by Cadw, at the beginning of 2010, to prepare two Interpretation Plans as part of Cadw’s overall strategy to develop and implement a programme of interpretation for its medieval properties throughout Wales.

The first of the plans was commissioned to encompass the Princes of Deheubarth, a dynasty of Welsh rulers whose territorial boundaries changed over the years but were rooted in the south west of Wales. The second plan was commissioned, in parallel, to encompass the areas of southern Wales which were ruled by, or under the influence of, the Anglo-Norman marcher lords.

The consultancy team is grateful to members of Cadw staff and to representatives of many other organisations who have provided information, advice, guidance and historical expertise during the course of preparing these Interpretation Plans. In particular, we acknowledge with thanks the guidance of our two expert advisers, Roger Turvey and Rick Turner, whose knowledge of, respectively, the princes and the lords, has kept us on a secure historical footing as well as providing a series of insights into both topics. We should also like to thank PLB Consulting Ltd and Siân Shakespear Associates for agreeing to share information about their own, parallel, interpretive planning work.

This document is an interpretation plan for telling the stories of the Lords of the Southern March. It is for a period in the history of the Lords between 1066 and c1410. It is not a plan for the interpretation of individual castles and other monuments within the Marcher Lords study area. That would require separate, site-related interpretation plans.

Many of the stories in this plan pre-date the physical evidence visible now at these sites – much of the stonework that remains was built after the 13th century. The stories will be brought alive, therefore, largely through the interpretive text and illustrations of graphic and digital media, and through activities such as self-guided trails, family activities, re-enactments and storytelling, than by explaining the physical significance of the relict monuments on the ground.

We have also made recommendations for branding the interpretation of the Lords of the Southern March – again, the branding is for the Marcher Lords story, not for the interpretation of other features and stories at each site.

The title of this plan reflects the changing influences over 350 years or so but it is simply the name of this report and may or may not have a place in future interpretation.

The plan has been prepared following extensive site and desk research, discussions with our advisers, consultative meetings with a range of interests and feedback from Cadw.

Sections 1 to 3 and 11 to 14 appear in both reports, illustrating clearly the integration between the stories of the Princes of Deheubarth and of the Lords of the Southern March. Sections 4 – 10 inclusive appear in appropriate versions in each report.

1.2 Inter-relating the stories

The story of the Princes of Deheubarth is a stirring tale of Celtic fervour, rivalry, territorial ambition and defence, castle-building, marriages of convenience, pragmatic pacts, law-
making, loyalty, treachery, patronage of the church and the arts, and dynastic rise and fall. The Princes of Deheubarth emerged as rulers of one group of the Brythonic people that gave Wales its language and the basis of its nationhood. Their culture was, of course, open to influences from elsewhere, not least from Europe and from Ireland.

The story of the Lords of the Southern March has a number of parallels. Their tale also embraces ambition for land and power; in addition, it includes building great castles and founding fine abbeys, founding new towns, introducing elements of the feudal system and their legal system. The Anglo-Norman lordships reflected a long history of invasion and settlement by northern European peoples, royal favour and disfavour, and internecine jealousies. Their supremacy in southern Wales led in time to the widespread adoption of the English language, new forms of land management and the power of the state for centuries to come. But they too were subject to influences from Europe.

A Double Helix of History

However, the two stories cannot simply be matching accounts of two overlapping periods in history. The stories are intertwined for several hundred years, sometimes bloodily, much of the time expediently. Indeed, the Normans themselves integrated with their Anglo-Saxon predecessors as rulers of what became England and the results of their conquests are seen not only in the consolidation of that country as a nation but, paradoxically, in the affirmation of Wales and Scotland as separate nations.

The power, and very existence, of the Princes of Deheubarth eventually disappeared under the aegis of the English crown, but so too, later, did the supremacy of the marcher lords. It is one of the ironies of history that Henry VII, partly descended from a distinguished Welsh prince, gave the last of England’s great royal dynasties, its Welsh name: the House of Tudor.

The story of the princes and the story of the marcher lords represent two interwoven strands in the annals of Wales which began, for the purposes of this document, with the emergence of the early Welsh kingdoms after the Romans left in 410 and ended with the Act of Union between Wales and England in 1536 although the word ‘union’ is still disputed in some quarters. The stories of these 1100 years still help to bind the history of both countries.

It is for that reason, although we have compiled two reports relating to the interpretation of the stories of the princes and of the lords, this and the section in Appendix 14.2 on the historical context appear in both reports in order to provide a framework for the findings and recommendations that follow.

1 The bright red line represents the Princes of Deheubarth and the bright blue line the Lords of the Southern March. The deeper red line represents other Welsh princes with whom the Princes of Deheubarth were in conflict or alliance at any given time and the dark blue line the rump of the Princes of Deheubarth after their influence ended during the hegemony of the Lords of the Southern March.
1.3 Common strands

In parallel with the development of the interpretation plans for the Princes of Deheubarth and the Lords of the Southern March, plans are also in preparation for:

- The Princes of Gwynedd
- The Edwardian Castles
- Owain Glyndŵr

Just as there are common strands that link the stories of the princes and the lords, so are there similar strands that link all five topics. After discussion with our colleagues in the consultancies responsible for the other plans, we have agreed a series of seven strands that are common to all. These strands are not themes or storylines; they are, however, important elements in all the stories and should always play a part, as appropriate, in interpreting the principal topics. The strands are, in no order of importance:

- The geography of Wales
- The topography of sites
- The dynasties of rulers
- The role of religion
- Social and cultural life
- The physical evidence: buildings, artefacts and documents
- The influence of Europe
- The timeline
2 Key events and timeline
2.1 Timeline

In order to synthesise and simplify the previous three sections, we have developed a timeline to identify the ‘turnkey’ events in Wales in terms of the two stories which have to be told. This ‘events line’ can then form the basis for drawing out the principal stories under the respective headings of the Princes of Deheubarth and the Lords of the Southern March. We have included events relating to both in this report because of the inter-relationships between the two stories for several hundred years.

Some events and / or dates will be referred to frequently; others will merit occasional, but important mention. Some will be dates of defining events (in the context of the Princes of Deheubarth and the Lords of the Southern March) which could appear on a graphic timeline in interpretive media.

c410 Roman Army abandons Britannia, including Wales

c500 The formation of the Celtic Church

c700 Wales’ principal territories defined
  Welsh language established in current form

c785 Offa’s Dyke separates the Princedoms of Powys and Brecon from Mercia

871 Rhodri Mawr unites most of Wales, defends Wales from Vikings
  Alfred becomes king of Wessex

877 Rhodri Mawr dies; most Welsh princes acknowledge King Alfred the Great as overlord

c900 St David’s accepts supremacy of Roman church

930 Hywel Dda becomes king of Deheubarth

950 Wales reverts to four princedoms

1057 Gruffudd ap Llywelyn unites most of Wales

1063 Harold Godwinson defeats Gruffudd who is killed

1066 Harold Godwinson marries Gruffudd’s widow
  William the Conqueror invades England and creates Norman Marcher Lords

1067 William fitz Osbern starts building Chepstow Castle, but not its great tower

1081 Rhys ap Tewdwr confirmed as Prince of Deheubarth

1086 Domesday Book compiled

1093 Rhys ap Tewdwr killed; Normans establish a foothold in south west Wales

1094 Normans repelled from Gwynedd and Dyfed (other than Pembrokeshire)

1143 Cistercian abbey founded at Whitland

1188 Gerald of Wales

1172 The Lord Rhys, Rhys ap Gruffudd’s rights to Deheubarth are confirmed by Henry II

C1200 Llywelyn ap Iorwerth (the Great) rules as Prince of Gwynedd and later Prince of (most of) Wales
1215  Magna Carta sealed
1218  Treaty of Worcester
1265  Llywelyn ap Gruffudd recognised as ruler of much of Wales by Simon de Montfort
1267  Treaty of Montgomery – Henry III acknowledges Llywelyn as Prince of Wales
1270s Completion of Caerphilly Castle
1277  Llywelyn signs Treaty of Aberconwy, reversing gains of Treaty of Montgomery
1282  Death of Llywelyn; Treaty of Rhuddlan agreed by Edward I sometimes called the conquest of Wales
1287  Maredudd ap Gruffudd’s rebellion fails and Edward I effectively extinguishes Deheubarth as a principedom
1301  Edward I bestows title of Prince of Wales on his son
1348  Black Death
1415  Owain Glyndwr thought to have died after his rebellion against English rule fails
1485  Henry VII becomes king of England and Wales and establishes the Tudor royal line
1536  Henry VIII dissolves the monasteries
      Act of Union, uniting Wales with England
3 The interpretive resources
3.1 The castles, the abbeys and the landscape

In the course of our initial work on the two interpretation plans, we have encountered references to many more castles and other sites than anticipated. Many are important, some less so; all contribute – or could contribute – to the stories of the Princes of Deheubarth and/or the Lords of the Southern March.

The sites are well known to Cadw, of course, including those in the ownership of other bodies or individuals. For convenience, we have grouped them in one table in the form of an overall audit. Further details and comments have yet to be added as we continue our site visits and other research.

What is clear is that the physical interpretive resources are extensive and range from the substantial mottes in St Clears and in Nevern to the majestic fortresses like Caerphilly and Pembroke. There is interpretation of various kinds at many of the sites, much of it in need of refreshing, but the potential to link many of the sites into a cohesive, and contextualised, story.

Allied to the physical resources represented by the buildings is the landscape in which they are set. Many are in rural surroundings (by today’s standards) but most of the great Norman castles stand in the towns which were created around them. The choice of location for the castles was not a matter of chance. They followed the age-old pattern of building fortresses where they could be of most value. In many cases this was in high ground with Carreg Cennen and Dinefwr being prime examples. Frequently they guarded rivers or river crossings as at Laugharne, sometimes from eminences high above as at Chepstow. Transport by water was as important in medieval times as that on land. In all cases, the sites provided views and, very often largely impregnable positions to which there was only one approach route, such as at Cilgerran.

The Cistercian monasteries, dedicated to religious service, were built in remote places away from the distractions of secular life. The monks’ régime of austere, and, later, astute nature, led to the monasteries becoming profitable agricultural enterprises. The land around them was fertile and ideal for growing crops and rearing sheep and was served by good supplies of running water. Their sites in open country were surrounded by land in the ownership and under the protection of their patrons.

And so, to the interpretive resource of the historic structures we can add the landscape in which they stand. The rural settings are always appealing and the urban settings are full of interest. The intrinsic value of the monuments as visitor attractions is greatly enhanced by their surroundings and this has the additional benefit of appealing to two, of overlapping, markets – those with an interest in the landscape and those who enjoy visiting buildings and towns.
## Castles and other sites in southern Wales associated with the Princes of Deheubarth and the Lords of the Southern March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>POD links</th>
<th>LSM link</th>
<th>Staff</th>
<th>Visitor numbers</th>
<th>Atkins plan</th>
<th>Local cons</th>
<th>Associated sites / potential links</th>
<th>Location / accessibility</th>
<th>Interpretation on site / guide</th>
<th>Personal associations</th>
<th>Ranking / potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abergavenny Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abergavenny Priory</td>
<td>Museum / park</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>De Braose family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammanford Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Interpretive panels due 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bronlyns Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tretower</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Caerphilly Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiff Castle, Castell Coch</td>
<td>Town centre, fully accessible</td>
<td>Visitor centre, panels, guide book, ?audio tour</td>
<td>Gilbert de Clare I&amp;II Hugh Despenser</td>
<td>Outstanding site, very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Caldicot Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chepstow</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Bohun family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cardiff Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Castell Coch</td>
<td>City centre, fully accessible</td>
<td>Robert Fitzhamon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardigan Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cilgerran Nevin St Dogmaels, Newport (P)</td>
<td>Town centre fully accessible, castle currently closed</td>
<td>Lord Rhys</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Carew Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carew Cross Pembroke</td>
<td>Good accessibility, car parks</td>
<td>Panels, guide book</td>
<td>Gerald de Windsor, Rhys ap Thomas</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Carew Cross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carew Castle</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Carmarthen Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kidwelly</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Interpretive panels Guidebook</td>
<td>William Fitz Baldwin The Lord Rhys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Carreg Cennen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dinefwr Drystwyn</td>
<td>Rural, hilltop, moderately accessible</td>
<td>Leaflet, guide, panels</td>
<td>Rhys Fychan John Giffard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Castell Coch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiff Caerphilly</td>
<td>Urban fringe, fully accessible</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Gilbert de Clare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Castle Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Visitor Accommodation</td>
<td>Interpretation Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cilgerran Castle</td>
<td>Nevern, Cardigan, Newport (P)</td>
<td>Village centre, moderately accessible</td>
<td>Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>Lord Rhys William Marshall</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Chepstow Castle</td>
<td>Caldicot, Tintern Monmouth</td>
<td>Town centre, fully accessible</td>
<td>Leaflet, panels Guidebook</td>
<td>William fitz Osbern, William Marshall</td>
<td>Outstanding site, very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Coity Castle</td>
<td>Newcastle Ogmore Llanblethian</td>
<td>In village, moderate parking</td>
<td>Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>De Turbeville</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dinefwr Castle</td>
<td>Drystwyn, Newton House Carreg Cennen</td>
<td>Rural hilltop, moderately accessible</td>
<td>Guide, panels, NT exhibition</td>
<td>Lord Rhys</td>
<td>Iconic site, very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dryslwyn Castle</td>
<td>Dinefwr Carreg Cennen</td>
<td>Rural hilltop, difficult access</td>
<td>Interpretive panels Guidebook</td>
<td>Lord Rhys</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Grosmont Castle</td>
<td>Skenfrith, White</td>
<td>In village, poor parking</td>
<td>Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>Hubert de Burgh</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Kidwelly Castle</td>
<td>Laugharne Llansteffan</td>
<td>Town centre, fully accessible</td>
<td>Visitor centre, Interpretive centre, panels, guidebook</td>
<td>De Chaworths Henry IV &amp; V Gwenllian</td>
<td>Outstanding site, very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Laugharne Castle</td>
<td>Kidwelly Llansteffan</td>
<td>Town centre, fully accessible</td>
<td>Interpretive centre, panels, guidebook</td>
<td>Rhys ap Gruffudd</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Llanblethian Castle</td>
<td>Caerphilly</td>
<td>Town centre, accessible</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>De Clare</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Llandovery Castle</td>
<td>Kidwelly Laugharne</td>
<td>Walking access from village</td>
<td>Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>Rhys ap Gruffudd</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Llansteffan Castle</td>
<td>Wiston, Narberth</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>Bishops of St David’s</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Loughor Castle</td>
<td>Weobley, Oxwich, Oystermouth, Swansea</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Monmouth Castle</td>
<td>Raglan, Chepstow</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>Henry V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Narberth Castle</td>
<td>Wiston, Llawhaden</td>
<td>Easy access from village</td>
<td>Good panels</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Neath Abbey</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>De Clare</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Nevern Castle</td>
<td>Newport (P) Cardigan, Cilgerran</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>Lord Rhys Robert Fitz Martin</td>
<td>Very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Newcastle Castle</td>
<td>Ogmore, Coity, Llanblethian</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Newcastle Emlyn</td>
<td>Cilgerran</td>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Newport Castle (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Newport Castle (P)</td>
<td>Nevern, Cardigan, Cilgerran</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ogmore Castle</td>
<td>Coity, Newcastle, Llanblethian, Ewenny Priory</td>
<td>Good access, parking, Good location on river, with stepping stones</td>
<td>Poor on-site interpretation, Guidebook</td>
<td>William de Londres</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Oxwich Castle</td>
<td>Weobley, Oystermouth, Loughor, Swansea</td>
<td>Good access, Guidebook</td>
<td>Largely 16th C</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Oystermouth Castle</td>
<td>Weobley, Oxwich, Swansea</td>
<td>Close to town</td>
<td>Interpretation to be installed during 2010/11</td>
<td>De Braose</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Feature Details</td>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Pembroke Castle</td>
<td>Loughor, Swansea</td>
<td>Carew Cross, Town centre, fully accessible, Panels, guide book, etc</td>
<td>Henry VII, William Marshall</td>
<td>Outstanding site, very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Penallt Cross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Raglan Castle</td>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>Village centre, Rural access, Panels Guidebook</td>
<td>William Herbert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>St Clears Castle</td>
<td>Whitland</td>
<td>Village centre, moderately accessible, Panel at gate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modest importance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>St Davids Cathedral</td>
<td>Liawhaden</td>
<td>City centre, fully accessible, Panels Bishops of St David’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>St Dogmael’s Abbey</td>
<td>Nevern</td>
<td>Village centre, Guidebook, Robert Fitz Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Skenfrith Castle</td>
<td>Grosmont, White</td>
<td>Village centre, Guidebook, Hubert de Burgh</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Strata Florida Abbey</td>
<td>Dinefwr Talley</td>
<td>Rural land, fully accessible, Panels Exhibition (2010) Guidebook, Cistercian Lord Rhys Burial ground for many Welsh princes</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Swansea Castle</td>
<td>Oystermouth Oxwich, Loughor, Weobley</td>
<td>Guidebook, Henry de Beaumont</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Talley Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural land, moderately accessible, Panels Guidebook, Rhys ap Gruffudd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Tenby Castle</td>
<td>Pembroke</td>
<td>Town centre, William de Valence Jasper Tudor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Map Reference</td>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Tintern Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chepstow</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Gilbert de Clare, Roger de Bigod</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Tretower Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Abergavenny</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td>Vaughan Herbert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Weobley Castle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Oxwich, Loughor, Oystermouth, Swansea</td>
<td>Guidebook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>White Castle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grosmont, Skenfrith, Rural land, good access, small car park, on Offa's Dyke</td>
<td>Panels, Bluetooth access Guidebook</td>
<td>Hubert de Burgh</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Whitland Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td>St Clears</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strata Florida established from here</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Wiston Castle</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Wiston Church, Llawhaden, Village edge, moderately accessible</td>
<td>Panels</td>
<td>Wizo</td>
<td>important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Community pride in its local monuments – the wider context

In January 2007, Cadw commissioned a series of Community Profiles, of communities living near to monuments in Cadw’s care, to inform work and publicity priorities for individual monuments as well as for area-based partnership-working. The profiles summarise information, outlining the:

- demographic, social, economic and environmental context of the communities
- local priorities and problems
- local networks, groups and initiatives
- community benefits and issues associated with the monument
- community use of the monument

It is clear from the Community Profiles that many communities have considerable pride in, and a ‘sense of ownership’ of, many of the castles and other sites. This has many benefits, not least in the overall marketing and care of the sites. However, in some cases, local people have, perhaps, unrealistic ambitions and expectations for the role their monuments might have in developing tourism within their area. The development of clusters or groups of castles within a small geographical area offers some opportunities for economic benefit to the local area as the marketing and interpretation of clusters may attract more visitors to the area and also encourage visitors to stay longer and spend more.

Developing overall stories relating to the Princes of Deheubarth and / or the Lords of the Southern March, and setting them in a wide context, will help to embrace what are often relatively isolated sites and give them a role within the bigger picture and therefore a greater prominence.

The Community Profiles also highlight communities’ concerns about the role of ‘their’ monuments, for example, the people of Skenfrith do not want any additional visitors in their village because car parking is already at capacity.

Community Profiles exist for the following sites:

Bronllys Castle  Loughor Castle
Caerphilly Castle  Neath Abbey
Carew Cross  Newcastle Castle
Chepstow Castle  Raglan Castle
Coity Castle  St Quentins’s Castle
Dinefwr Castle  Strata Florida Abbey
Dryslwyn Castle  Weobley Castle
Grosmont Castle  White Castle
4 The market
4.1 Main divisions of audiences

Because the principal interpretive resources, as we have defined them above, are both dramatic and varied, with locations ranging from the coast to the hills, across much of southern Wales, they have the potential to attract a heterogeneous market, ranging from families on holiday with a passing interest to those individuals and groups with a lively and informed interest in the stories and / or the many sites associated with them.

It is clear from the results of community consultation that there is strong local interest in most of the identified sites and great pride in their potential for attracting visitors, whether or not the actual numbers reflect the enthusiasm and sense of ownership among local people. Specific visitor numbers are available for only some of the identified sites and these are noted on the table in the previous section.

In summary, the current market segments for interpretation of the Lords of the Southern March story, on- and off-site include the following:

By social group and age, predominantly:
- Socio-economic groups ABC1
- Over 35 years of age

By type, predominantly:
- Families with younger children on holiday or day outings
- Adult couples and small groups on holiday or day outings

By origin, predominantly:
- Visitors to the area, mainly from other parts of Wales and of the UK

By interest, predominantly:
- With a general interest as part of a ‘day out’
- Making a first visit.

In addition, and importantly, the current market includes, in smaller numbers:
- Repeat visitors
- Local people
- Those with a specialist interest

4.2 Widening the audiences

In devising interpretive approaches and media for the future, it is important to consider mechanisms for widening the audience base although experience over many years suggests this will present considerable challenges except in special cases. Target groups include, in no order of priority:

1. Socio-economic groups C2DE – there are frequently financial, transportation, mobility, cultural and intellectual impediments
2. Younger people – there are impediments arising, principally, from life-style choices but also financial, domestic and cultural circumstances, and the way history is taught
3. Older people – the principal impediments arise from life-style choices and from mobility, transportation and financial circumstances
4. Black and ethnic minority groups – the principal constraints are cultural and social attitudes and circumstances, but also financial and domestic circumstances

5. Overseas visitors – the principal impediment is the lure of well-known and / or high-profile regions and sites, exacerbated by lack of awareness and fore-knowledge

6. People with severe mobility, sensory and learning impairments – additional impediments include cultural, financial and social circumstances

Apart from the impediments relating to financial circumstances and availability of personal transport which affect a number of the categories above, there is a common strand that links all categories and that has an intellectual basis although its nature varies. It encapsulates:

- Intellectual achievement –categories 1, 4 and 6
- Intellectual ability – mainly category 6
- Intellectual interest – categories 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6
- Intellectual exposure – mainly categories 1, 4, 5 and 6

Most if not all of these four groups are also affected by cultural circumstances some of which – for example the continuing integration of black and ethnic minorities – may change over time. As younger people develop their interest in their heritage in later years, for many older people it no longer has the same significance.

Improving general education, encouragement and good interpretation can draw in people who didn’t think they had, or didn’t even want to have, an interest in their heritage and surroundings. Good television programmes supported by DVDs, books and the internet, are constantly widening people’s horizons, awareness and understanding.

The market for heritage interpretation is widening – but so are the competing attractions. Realistically, it will be possible to broaden the appeal and success of interpretation aimed at the general public only by degrees and, even then, at a cost which, other than for reasons of inclusivity, may not be wholly justified.

Our proposals go, we hope, some way down the inclusivity line without, at the same time, ‘losing’ the interest of those who are already part of the market.
5 Issues and opportunities
5.1 Issues and opportunities

In considering our approach to the interpretation of the Lords of the Southern March, a series of issues has emerged, some of which we have referred to under previous headings. There are also associated opportunities and it is worth recording both because addressing them the issues and seizing the opportunities is, in effect, the substance of our interpretive approach.

We have presented them simply as bullet points and grouped them under a number of headings:

- Overall story
- Wider context
- Links with other interpretive plans
- The range of sites
- Complementary sites
- Community involvement

5.2 Overall story

The principal issues that have arisen in terms of the overall story are:

- Awareness and understanding of the Lords of the Southern March is at best patchy although key sites are very well known
- Some key – and more widely important – figures are known to Welsh people but to few others
- Some of the sites associated with the lords are not ranked as important visitor attractions – but there are major exceptions
- The history of the lords is relatively convoluted and closely related to that of the English monarch and sites in England
- The history of the Anglo-Norman Lords of the Southern March is closely embroiled with that of the Princes of Deheubarth
- The social history of the period of the lords’ hegemony, while most important, is probably not widely known with the possible exception of their form of the feudal system
- The day-to-day story of ordinary people many of whom were widely dispersed in the landscape and many who moved to the new boroughs.

However, the opportunities that exist include:

- Telling a story wider than that of the lords alone, setting them in a broader geographical, historical, social and political context
- Using key characters as ‘pegs’ upon which to tell the wider story
- Relating the story of the lords to local communities (which they created in many cases) where feasible
- Integrating, where appropriate, the story of the Lords of the Southern March with that of the Princes of Deheubarth
• Revealing the ‘everyday story of tradesmen, servants and other workers in describing how life was lived in medieval times

5.3 The wider context
The principal issues that have arisen in terms of the wider context are:

• How the geography and topography had a decisive impact on civilian and military life and how the lords and their strongholds impacted on the landscape

• When the overall story is not well known, it needs its own explanation before it can be related to a wider context

• Perception of wider historical contexts is not within the grasp of many people

However, the opportunities that exist include:

• Relating the story of the lords to today’s political geography of Wales can help understanding as broad boundaries have changed little

• Relating the story of the lords to wider struggles, particularly those of the English kings with Scotland and France

• Relating the story of the lords to more universal concepts of leaders and followers, conquest and collaboration can help understanding

5.4 Links with other interpretive plans
The principal issues that have arisen in terms of linking interpretation of the lords with other interpretive plans (for the Princes of Gwynedd and for Owain Glyndŵr) are:

• The links between the lords and the Princes of Gwynedd require a basic understanding of the Princes of Deheubarth as well as the context in which all ruled

However, the opportunities that exist include:

• Using the context of modern Wales’s geo-political boundaries to help explain the relationships among the lords and between them and the princes

• Exploiting the link with Owain Glyndŵr which is a powerful connection

• Using the ‘celebrity status’ of Glyndŵr as peg upon which to help tell the story of the Lords of the Southern March

5.5 The range of sites
The principal issues that have arisen in terms of the range of sites are:

• By no means all are in the care of Cadw

• Coordinated on-site interpretation is likely to be limited to Cadw sites without making special arrangements

• The major sites will continue, largely, to dominate visitor patterns

• Few sites are staffed but visitor numbers at unstaffed sites are assessed from footfall information

• A number of sites are relatively less easy to reach either in terms of their location away from main centres or in terms of immediate physical access
• Many are relatively less prominent – or appear so – when seen against the major monuments
• The part some play in the story of the lords may need more explanation than is feasible

However, the opportunities that exist include:
• Linking all sites through interpretation of different kinds, using printed or digital media where on-site media are impracticable for a variety of reasons
• Creating ‘publicity’ for lesser known sites by including them in printed or digital media
• Involving communities in on-site interpretation by developing local confidence in their awareness and understanding of the wider stories

5.6 Complementary sites
The principal issues that have arisen in terms of complementary sites are:
• Little if any consideration of ‘grouping’ complementary sites has been undertaken
• Many visitors are not interested enough to visit more than one site however strong the story links are

However, the opportunities that exist include:
• If the stories are strong enough, they can encourage visitors to take in more than one site on a day’s outing
• Complementary sites, not part of Princes story but close by, could be promoted as a ‘package’ of contrasting sites for visitors, encouraging them to stay longer; this should form part of the marketing effort of the various tourism promotional bodies

5.7 Community involvement
The principal issues that have arisen (as reported in a series of Community Profiles) in terms of community involvement are:
• Some communities have greater hopes for the tourism value of local sites than is reasonable or practicable
• Some communities expect Cadw to do far more than is feasible to promote the sites
• Some communities expect to be able to use local sites at will and at no cost

However, the opportunities that exist include:
• Many communities are keen to help promote local sites and could provide informal interpretation with appropriate training
• Local communities, proud of the sites, will contribute to publicising them
6 Interpretive aim and objectives
6.1 Aims and objectives

We propose that the aim for the interpretation of the Lords of the Southern March should be:
To help visitors and local people understand the story of the Lords of the Southern March and the role they played in the making of Wales.

To support this aim, we have set out five interpretive objectives:

- To widen understanding of how the Lords of the Southern March developed their rule over southern Wales following the Norman Conquest of England
- To widen awareness of the important contribution of the Lords of the Southern March to the story of Wales
- To help people learn more about Welsh cultural life in later medieval times including the role of women
- To widen awareness of the efforts of the Princes of Deheubarth to overthrow the rule of the Lords of the Southern March
- To help people to discover more about the Lords of the Southern March through visiting key sites associated with them

These interpretive objectives are supported by more specific learning, behavioural and emotional objectives.

Learning objectives

There are six intellectual or learning objectives to help visitors to:

- Understand that the Norman invasion of Wales, as part of their invasion of Britain, had a significant impact on the people and landscape of Wales
- Understand that the Lords of the Southern March built a series of castles in south Wales to subdue the Welsh and subsequently to protect and defend their lands, leaving a lasting legacy of social and economic systems that are still evident today.
- Understand that the story of the Lords of the Southern March is intertwined with the story of the Princes of Deheubarth
- Appreciate that Lords of the Southern March lived lives that were sophisticated and that the lords were powerful and rich landowners who established religious communities and had close links with the English crown and with other lords and royalty in Europe.
- Understand that Cadw is the Welsh Assembly Government’s official guardian of the built heritage in Wales
- Understand that Cadw cares for and protects the built heritage in Wales, encouraging people to enjoy and appreciate historic buildings, ancient monuments, historic parks, gardens and landscapes of Wales
Emotional objectives
There are five emotional objectives to help visitors to:

- Enjoy the experience of visiting an historic building or landscape and to understand its significance and value
- Choose to visit other similar historic properties and their nearby villages or towns and surrounding landscapes
- Want to return to historic buildings to enjoy further events or activities
- Be inspired to think about the fascinating and complex history of the Lords of the Southern March and its legacy today
- Support the work of Cadw throughout Wales.

Behavioural objectives
There are two behavioural objectives to encourage visitors to:

- Respect the special environment of Cadw’s historic buildings and landscapes and to treat the properties with care and consideration
- Minimise their impact on the historic buildings and landscapes.
7 Our approach
7.1 Knowing the unknown

Few people have more than a passing grasp of their own history and fewer than that of other countries. Even most of neighbouring English people would admit to knowing little of the history of Wales and have possibly not even heard of Owain Glyndwr, let alone any of the Princes of Deheubarth. Their knowledge of the Norman marcher lords is likely to be limited to awareness of the immense Norman castles and, possibly, the impact of Edward I. They will, of course, be familiar in principle with the Norman Conquest and 1066, the date it began.

It is clear, from anecdotal evidence, that not many people in Wales have heard of the Princes of Deheubarth or know anything about the great Welsh leaders of medieval times other than the still-celebrated Welsh hero, Owain Glyndwr. The great castles associated with the princes are better known but their builders and occupiers are largely lost in the mists of the past. Few will be aware that the tradition of national eisteddfodau began in Cardigan at the behest of Lord Rhys in the 12th century?

This does not make southern Wales substantially different from other countries or their regions. Nevertheless, what makes the stories of both the Princes of Deheubarth and the Lords of the Southern March less widely known is that, generally speaking, they had little or no impact beyond Wales, unless one considers the Tudor monarchy of England (and how many people associate that with Wales?) and the continuing designation of a Prince of Wales (which is a title akin in many minds to that of the Duke of Edinburgh or Duke of York).

However, there are common issues which are familiar to people such as the struggle to survive and the fight to retain power and identity. We are dealing with six hundred years of Welsh history that is known well to a number, in outline to many, not known at all to most visitors at least or to many of those who are more recent newcomers to Wales. This sets a lot of challenges for interpreters but in meeting them we are helped by the presence of many outstanding historical monuments, much admired and respected by local people and visitors alike. They are the focus for the story which is what lies, of course, behind Cadw's wish to see the stories told largely through the medium of its, and others’, medieval properties.

7.2 A double helix of history

As we indicated in our opening section, we see the story of the Lords of the Southern March as being important in itself but also inextricable from the story of the Princes of Deheubarth. During the periods of influence of both groups, there was internecine strife, jockeying for power and shifting alliances, all part of everyday life in medieval Wales – or medieval anywhere for that matter.

The two stories, with the changing fortunes of the Lords of the Southern March and their English king, and of the Welsh rulers at any given time, are largely intertwined. For that reason, we see them best represented as a spiral, linked to a timeline to help people to set the interlinked stories in historical perspective.
A Double Helix of History

The diagram above is a rough representation of this approach and could, with proper design treatment, form a visual and thematic emblem for all printed and graphic interpretation. The dates are simply representative at this stage but indicate that the spiral timeline on the lines of that above could be viable.

7.3 People to people

However, this is still a largely theoretical approach with a practical twist, so to speak. In order to bring to life the Double Helix of History, and the dates, we would propose to follow the most obvious course of employing historical characters to lead the interpretation, with key figures acting, as we suggested earlier, as ‘pegs’ upon which to hang the various stories and the links between the Lords of the Southern March and the Princes of Deheubarth.

The characters, wherever possible, would be real people but in many cases they would need to be augmented by named but generic characters in other roles. In effect, the interpretation becomes a drama, with stars and supporting cast. This approach provides the opportunity for a whole variety of presentational techniques from conventional panels to role-play, from website theatre to lively audio tours.

We have identified a number of potential ‘stars’ among the Lords of the Southern March. They include the following, but not all are included in our later proposals.

- Hubert de Burgh, Earl of Kent
- Gerald de Windsor and Nest his wife
- Gerald of Wales
- Gilbert de Clare, Earl of Gloucester
- Isabella de Clare
- Hugh Despenser, Earl of Gloucester
- Maurice de Londres
- Maurice fitz Gerald
- Ralph of Grosmont
- Robert fitz Hamo
- Robert fitz Martin

2 The bright red line represents the Princes of Deheubarth and the bright blue line the Lords of the Southern March. The deeper red line represents other Welsh princes with whom the Princes of Deheubarth were in conflict or alliance at any given time and the dark blue line the rump of the Princes of Deheubarth after their influence ended during the hegemony of the Lords of the Southern March.
We have also identified a ‘supporting cast’ in a later section to involve a number of women including historical figures and generic characters, the latter representing the ordinary people around whose lives much of the story can be told.

7.4 People in the landscape

Apart from qualities of the castles and other monuments themselves, most if not all enhance, and are enhanced by, the topography of the land around them. Many of the castles stand on eminences or high above natural defences such as rivers and ravines. The abbeys lie surrounded by fertile land; castles too. They are features in the own landscapes, often visible from miles away, but the landscape (or townscape in some instances) around them is also an important feature in their appeal to visitors.

Clearly, the siting of castles and other monuments has obvious and immediate connotations – security and display of power from high places, access to fertile land, the agricultural importance, eg, of the Tywi valley, nearness to river and sea routes and transport, guarding of communication routes and so on. In other words, the landscape tells part of the story; it helps to explain the choices of site made by the great military, and ecclesiastical, leaders. There are, therefore, three key elements in telling the story of the Princes of Deheubarth:

- People – first and foremost. Visitors are most interested in the people who bring stories alive, to whom they can relate and whom they can remember.
- Buildings – the conventional attraction for most visitors, but best explained in terms of the people who built them, lived in them, defended (and attacked) them and so on.
- Places – the setting for the buildings and their people. Visitors relish exciting or enchanting landscapes and fascinating towns.

We will root our interpretive proposals for the sites, therefore, in proposing that they answer some or all of the following questions:

- Who is associated with this castle or abbey?
- Why is it here?
- How did it function?
- When was it built?
- What was it like to live there?
- What are the principal and enduring stories (of people) associated with them?
- What are the challenges people faced over the centuries?
- What is it like now?
- Where else is linked to this site?

The answers should help visitors to develop an empathy with the sites they visit and to understand their contemporary cultural resonance.
8 Thematic approach
8.1 Themes

Themes are a valuable part of an interpretation plan because they provide a valuable basis for determining the framework and content of interpretive media. They reflect, of course, the interpretive objectives and also determine the key messages that must be conveyed.

We propose the following themes for the story of the Lords of the Southern March:

- The Lords of the Southern March played a vital – but changing – part in the history of Wales following the Norman Conquest
- The early relationships between the largely independent Norman marcher lords and the Welsh princes were characterised by shifting alliances but their communities had largely integrated by the time of the Act of Union
- The Norman conquest had a permanent impact on the Princes of Deheubarth, Morgannwg, and Brycheiniog, and the people of southern Wales, and exhibited all the traits of colonialism and exploitation
- Social and cultural life in the marcher lands of medieval Wales had many characteristics and qualities relating to the role of women, social hierarchy, primogeniture and land management including rights of pannage, turbage etc
- Many castles, abbeys and other sites help to tell the long and fascinating story of the Lords of the Southern March and the landscape in which they lived

These themes are generic and embrace interpretation at all sites associated with the Lords of the Southern March, although not all may be employed at every site. In addition, however, it will be necessary to determine sub-themes for each key site and these will be shown in the action plan in our final report. Many of these sub-themes have been usefully articulated in the Atkins reports and we will draw upon them. We would also include the role of marcher lords in supporting the English king in international conflict.

In the next section, we identify key elements of the overall story that are applicable to clusters of sites or to individual sites which support the themes above.
9 Media, mechanisms and locations
9.1 Principles

Creating a ‘brand’

As we have stated in earlier sections, the stories of both the Lords of the Southern March and the Princes of Deheubarth are relatively complex and the combined story is convoluted. Neither story is known, to any degree, to those outside Wales, and knowledge of both is patchy even among people in Wales. For that reason, we recommend that the two stories should be ‘branded’ together in a way that allows each to be told where only one is apposite and for both to be told where that is the appropriate approach.

The name of this report is simply a working title and not intended to be a ‘brand name’ While The March of Power in Southern Wales encompasses the combined story with its shifting alliances and changing tides of influence and can be used in conjunction with either or both the sub-titles of The Princes of Deheubarth and The Norman Marcher Lords if there is a need to present the stories as one. However, it is not appropriate as a ‘brand

As branding for the two stories, as separate entities, we propose the following:

- The Princes of Southern Wales – their struggle for power
- The Marcher Lords of Southern Wales – their struggle for power.

The name Deheubarth, historically accurate though it may be, is unfamiliar to most people and certainly to potential visitors from outside Wales and we suggest that the name is introduced in telling the story but not used as a brand as unfamiliar names and words can be off-putting. Using similar wording for the two brands makes it easier to draw the stories together where this is appropriate. Design sketches of the wording are attached in Appendix 4.

However, simply the words are insufficient, in our view, to provide adequate information and we therefore recommend that the branding should always include one, or where appropriate, two representative characters and, similarly, one or two stylised maps to show the extent of Deheubarth and the broad territory of the Southern March.

The characters could be generic for universal use or could be selected to echo a key part of the story told at a given site – for example the Lord Rhys at Dinefwr. The maps would always show the same area but could be augmented at specific sites with a mark to show its location. However, simplicity is essential.

Allied to this should be a clear but simplified timeline that will be generic but will also, at any given site, indicate the broad period or periods reflected in the story. In summary, therefore, the graphic branding for use on interpretive material, on site, on paper or in digital form, will always include the following:

- The overall title
- A strapline
- One or two characters
- A map
- A timeline

Design sketches to illustrate this approach are attached in Appendix C. The inclusion of graphic images in any branding should not preclude the use of other characters or maps / plans within accompanying interpretive material.
A people-centric approach

We proposed that all interpretation should be related to people, either known individuals where practicable or generic characters where appropriate. The story of buildings should be related to people, not the reverse. We have already identified a number of personalities as examples of those around whom stories could be told but in addition we also identify supporting characters such as servants, tradespeople, farmers, carters etc.

The cast of characters could be used in a wide range of circumstances including:

- On-site media
- Printed media
- Websites
- Digital media
- Self-guided trails
- Events and performances
- Learning material and community activities
- Storytelling

9.2 Delivering the interpretation – general

Content and design principles

Before considering specific media and mechanisms, we believe it is important to set down a number of principles relating to content and design of interpretation.

We would recommend that interpretation of the Lords of the Southern March in whatever form, should be implemented:

- using a story-telling approach rather than presenting formal descriptions and explanations
- using first-person and/or second-person narrative and active verbs wherever possible
- ensuring that all the various interpretive media are cross-referenced where possible, so that each interpretive item points users to other material or to sites where they can find out more
- avoiding ‘organisation-speak’ and using technical terms only where essential, and then with clear explicit or implicit explanation of their meaning within written or recorded text
- relating all topics to people, ie to their human interest or connections, in order that visitors and local people may relate more easily to otherwise unfamiliar or unknown facts and concepts
- ensuring that written and recorded text is explanatory and not simply informative
- ensuring that written and recorded text is accurate in fact and inference
• ensuring that written and recorded text is edited by an acknowledged interpretive
  writer to ensure that information from experts is effectively explained for lay
  audiences
• ensuring that all graphic design meets the needs of the interpretation rather than
  simply those of presentational style
• ensuring that all text in graphic displays meets the requirements of the interpretive
  writer in presentation style and layout
• ensuring that all the interpretation is fully bi-lingual in accordance with the Welsh
  Language Act and Cadw’s bi-lingual policy
• ensuring that all interpretive facilities, static media and graphic displays meet the
  requirements and recommendations of the Disability Discrimination Acts and advisory
  organisations

Illustrations
The quality and content of illustrations used in media will be critical for understanding the
stories of the princes. At most sites the visible evidence will be from its Anglo-Norman history
while the aspect of the story told may have limited or no visible evidence. This is a significant
challenge for site interpretation which can partially be overcome by the quality of illustrations
in the various media, alongside intriguing and informative text and supported by other
interpretive materials. Illustrations need to be evocative, relate closely to what can be seen
now and show the operation of the site relating to the way people lived at that time. They
need to provoke a leap in imagination for the reader to understand rapidly how the site would
have appeared compared with what is there today. Illustrations should include artist’s
reconstruction drawings of the site with, say, earth and timber features and images of
battlements and weapons. They should also include people, such as the key character, or
characters, telling the stories, people inhabiting the site and others managing the land or
animals.

A common style for Welsh versions
It is important that any text, written or spoken is sensitive to the nuances of Welsh language,
and to Welsh culture and identity. All the consultants involved with the Interpretation Plans
for the Princes of Deheubarth and Gwynedd, the Lords of the Southern March, the
Edwardian Castles and Owain Glyndŵr are agreed that Welsh versions should adopt a
consistent style and be under the supervision of an appropriate Welsh speaker. It is vitally
important that a proper degree of ‘Welshness’ if reflected throughout (including in the English
versions) and that any text, written or spoken does not offend or irritate people in Wales.

A cohesive package
We suggest that the interpretation offered for the Lords of the Southern March should be
multi-layered so that it offers a clear and succinct overview, slightly more detailed layers of
information as well as the opportunity to ‘drill down’ to more comprehensive material with
links and pointers on where to go for more information.

The interpretive media developed for each site and for the site clusters should be cross-
referenced so that it is easy for visitors to navigate between different media and different
sites. For example panels and leaflets should tell readers that there is more information on
the Cadw website and give web addresses, the website should list events and activities at
sites and include leaflet downloads where applicable and so on. Similarly, when a timeline is
developed for the Lords of the Southern March, it should be included on panels, in relevant leaflets and on the websites. Much of this cross-referencing already exists and should continue with any new interpretation.

The interpretive media should also be arranged so that they meet visitors’ needs, where appropriate, before, during and after their visit. For example, material on the Cadw website is likely to be accessed before and possibly after a visit. It could also be accessed during a visit by visitors with digital media that can connect to the internet. Leaflets are used before and during a visit. Interpretive panels tend to be accessed only during a visit. Interpretive maps are multi-purpose. They can be used prior to a visit, during the visit to navigate, explore and understand the sites and also as souvenirs, to be re-read and enjoyed after the visit.

We have identified a series of characters associated with key sites from the history of the Lords of the Southern March. These characters are a mix of real and generic people who collectively tell the stories of the castle or abbey, peopling the monuments and helping visitors to see them as vibrant with their former communities. This ‘peopling’ will enable visitors to relate to the people of the time and will aid understanding of the way the monuments worked in their heydays. At each site, the interpretation should include the characters so that visitors are ‘meeting the same people’ through printed materials, panels, audio and audio-visual materials, silhouette figures and so on.

Media and mechanisms
The media which could be employed in implementing this Interpretation Strategy include the following in no particular order of importance:

- Interpretive panels
- Printed media
- Websites
- Digital media
- Events and performances
- Storytelling, music, song and theatre
- Learning material and community activities
- Displays
- Silhouette figures
- Self-guided landscape trails
- Family trails
- Audio posts
- Audio / video tours and guides
- Banners
- ‘Mystery history’ packs

We describe below how each of these media might be applied.

Interpretive panels
We propose that all sites connected with the Lords of the Southern March should host one or two specially-designed interpretive panels to tell the story of the site in its historical and
geographical contexts and to relate this story to the broader story of the Lords and, where appropriate, to the Princes of Deheubarth. The panels will include both English and Welsh text, but the texts should be developed jointly by Welsh and English speakers and should contain the same information but not necessarily as direct translations.

These panels would be augmented by further panels at the cluster sites (see 9.3 below) to explain the parts of the story relevant to those sites and the relevance of the features to that story. The stories should be told through the characters identified in 9.3 and include graphic illustrations of the site both then and now, the characters telling the story and the people who might have inhabited the site and the landscape.

At major sites, where there is indoor or covered space available, we propose that one or both stories should be told in greater detail, perhaps through an display, providing a broader overview, but always with awareness that too much information or interpretation is often worse than none at all.

Interpretive panels at all sites should be located with care beside a specific feature explained in the panel where appropriate, or located at the entrance or in a car park. Panels should not interfere with the views from the site or be intrusive in views of the site.

These panels, about a specific period in time, would complement the existing or future interpretation of the site. The primary interpretation needs to answer initial questions about the site, specifically about the evidence visible today, while the interpretation panels recommended in this plan explain a key story in its history. Together, they would provide a comprehensive explanation of the site’s history.

**Printed media**

We propose the following range of printed media in addition to the existing site leaflets:

- **An interpretive map**, in English and Welsh, telling the story of the Lords of the Southern March, in English and Welsh, one language on each side; the map would be designed for family audiences but would be equally acceptable to adults; it could include a ‘been there’ check list for people to keep score of their visits. The Map would focus on explaining the story of the lords expressed through a variety of sites – it would not focus on interpreting the history of the sites themselves.

And / or:

- **Interpretive maps** of the clusters of castles (see later section), to include oblique aerial reconstruction illustrations of the area in medieval times and a smaller contemporary map showing touring and walking routes. The medieval view should include illustrations of the **key characters** that are associated with each site and a brief biography to introduce them and to make the connection with web-based and on-site media. It should also include illustrations of relevant activities, such as people working the fields and forests, soldiers and horses, settlements, etc. The leaflet should include details of access to sites, opening times and sources of information on accommodation, pubs and other services. Again, the maps interpret primarily the story of the lords, rather than the history of the castles and other sites.

In addition:

- **An interpretive guide**, in English and Welsh, telling the story of the Lords of the Southern March, aimed at children of around eight to ten years, highlighting the cast of characters used in other interpretive media and using them to explore the stories. This ‘Findoutabout’ guide would be highly illustrated and with sufficient information to
appeal to many adults; it could include a ‘met them’ check list of characters from
different sites for children to track their visits

Or, alternatively

- One interpretive guide, as above, but telling both stories; this is likely to be too
  complicated for children for whom one clear storyline is usually more effective

To widen awareness of the stories:

- A series of ‘character cards’, possibly in the form of bookmarks or playing cards,
  using a character on each side to tell his or her part of the story but in the context of
  the whole story

We do not propose a new guidebook as there are already guides to all the major sites and
many books published by Cadw and others which record the history of both the princes and
the lords.

Many of the sites for the Lords of the Southern March have an individual property
marketing leaflet. We suggest that when these are reprinted they should include a ‘must
see’ or a ‘don’t miss’ list of the most important or fascinating features of the site. Ideally these
‘must see’ items would be a mix of architectural elements and quirky features, associated
with the lords.

A paragraph should be included in every site leaflet referring to clusters of sites related to the
Lords of the Southern March and also to appropriate websites and sources of further
information.

Websites

The continuing phenomenon of internet use – at least 70% of the UK population now has
access to the internet – has meant that websites have a front-line position in informing
potential and actual visitors about the Lords of the Southern March, in explaining the many
features and characteristics of the sites and in encouraging visitors to spend time there. They
also have a role for local people who wish to know more.

There is considerable scope for developing dedicated websites for the Lords of the Southern
March clusters of castle to provide more interpretation, as distinct from information, to reflect,
support and extend the interpretation that will be provided in on-site, printed and other media.
There is no reason why the same basic content – downloadable where appropriate – should
not be used, with the website providing more detail – as well as links to a range of other
websites that can add to awareness and understanding.

Websites in general have not yet developed their potential role in interpretation and that
gives Cadw the opportunity to take a lead and move beyond simply fact into explanation –
using the storyline principles to enliven the website material from the purely visual.

We propose, therefore:

- Two, interlinked and comprehensive websites (or sub-sets of the existing Cadw
  website), using the characters and other sites highlighted in graphic and printed
  media to tell the interlinked stories of the Princes of Deheubarth and of the Lords of
  the Southern March; they could include downloadable material (see Digital media
  below) but not the content of otherwise saleable material. The websites would focus
  on the story of the lords rather than the history of individual sites
Digital media

Audio tours, digital video tours, and those based on access by mobile phone or MP3 and MP4 players could all play a part in helping visitors (and indeed local people) to enjoy the castles and other sites. Cadw already uses digital technology at a number of sites.

With the rapid development of hand-held digital equipment, some audio tours are now being superseded by tours with still and / or video pictures. It is likely that these will have an increasingly important role as technology, and access to it, improves. However some caution is advisable before significant investment is made in any one type of digital media.

Digital media are still relatively new and there are some teething problems associated with downloading interpretive material onto different mobile phones and networks. There are also problems associated with viewing images on screens in bright daylight. However, once these initial problems have been overcome there are exciting opportunities for developing MP3 and MP4 materials at and for key sites, alongside other new media such as podscrolls, at the majority of the castles.

Bluetooth technology is developing rapidly and there are a number of systems on the market. Some are powered on site by solar energy and back-up battery power to link with MP4 equipment.

We propose, therefore:

- ‘Talkabout’ interpretive materials at key sites offering visitors to take a personal guided tour with the curator of the site or another knowledgeable local person. Audio and visual materials developed for children could be introduced and narrated by children.
- Bluetooth or similarly activated text and visual material for trails at major sites

Events and performances

Live events of various kinds including castle festivals, medieval jousts, son-et-lumière, theatrical pieces, ‘street’ theatre, music, song and storytelling, and more participative activities such as topic-based outings and treasure hunts can all add to the interpretation of the sites associated with the Princes of Deheubarth. There are many potential events, using the thematic approach that could provide an entertaining means of involving visitors and local people in understanding more about the sites – providing ‘hidden’ interpretation. Many such events are already part of Cadw’s interpretation programme but there are opportunities for extending their range and scope.

We also suggest an annual event at appropriate sites in each cluster that would offer a series of linked activities for local people and visitors to enjoy and understand the historical significance of the castles and the landscape in which they are sited. These would include guided walks and cycle tours between the sites, performances by costumed interpreters explaining the links between the sites. An example could be Hubert de Burgh touring his three castles (Grosmont, Skenfrith and White) to inspect the work that has been done to enhance the fortifications, and reminiscing with the staff at each of the castles about the problems and pitfalls of the construction and the troubles with contractors. This could culminate in an evening feast with food, music and dance, or a ‘bring-your-own Norman picnic’.
Storytelling, music, song and theatre

Our overall interpretive approach is to make use of storylines to give a focus for explaining the stories of the Lords of the Southern March. The thematic base has been set out in an earlier section and detailed topics for each site are given in a later section. Themes are not intended for public use – they are there to guide the interpreter who can use them to create storylines which are more adaptable.

In order to give even more weight to the stories, one way of presenting them is to use storytellers, or tale-tellers, identifying a key generic character with each storyline. We have set out above suggested characters from the past who could act as ‘tale-tellers’ but this is only one possible approach.

There is also scope to use poetry, music and song in performances by tale-tellers and this would reflect the powerful Celtic heritage of Wales in particular.

Learning material and community activities

We distinguish between interpretational and educational material as the first is used primarily during leisure visits while the latter is generally linked to curricular studies. However, the same characters would provide a very strong basis for good educational and community material of all kinds – printed, graphic, audio-visual, web-based and so on.

Indeed, the practice of using characters is long-established in educational material and what works for children very often works, with modification, for adults. This applies also to animations, which children can now develop on computers, to tell their own stories.

Children love role-playing and, as for educational material, the creation of strong storylines and tale-tellers offers a range of opportunities for role playing either on school visits or during school holidays when programmes could be arranged for local and visiting children.

Displays

Some of the major sites have existing visitor centres, shops, or enclosed spaces that can be used for displays. These sites are often staffed and many charge for admission. Where these sites are included in a cluster, and particularly if they are owned and managed by Cadw, we propose that a display should be installed to relate the key part of the Marcher Lords’ story relevant to the cluster theme. The display would need to integrate with any existing exhibition both in style and content, or, if it is to be held in a separate room from an existing exhibition, it could have a ‘stand-alone’ design.

Silhouette figures

We suggest that some simple life-size cut-out silhouette figures of people and animals who would have lived at the castles and religious buildings could be located at various points around the monuments, helping to ‘populate’ the site. They should be subtly placed so that visitors glimpse them out of the corner of their eyes, and get an impression that someone – a monk or a soldier or a cook – is just coming out of a door or around a corner. The silhouette figures should be simply but accurately shaped, and linked to the key characters for each site, looking like any illustrations that are drawn of these characters. They could be made from metal or wood, and either left as matt black metal or untreated wood or painted in colour. They could be made as part of a family activity workshop, with families working with an artist to design the shapes, guided by a costumed re-enactor. They could also be used as supports or frames for interpretive panels and for photograph opportunities.
Self-guided landscape trails

At many of the key sites for the Marcher Lords there are opportunities to develop trails to link the castle or buildings with their surrounding area, especially to features such as villages, churches and farms that have been built as a result of the presence of the castle or ecclesiastic building. These trails will help visitors to understand the position and location of the castles or buildings and their roles in the surrounding landscape, and encourage visitors to explore the area and use local services such as village shops, pubs and inns.

We propose, therefore, ‘Hikeabout’, ‘Bikeabout’, ‘Driveabout’ and even ‘Boatabout’ self-guided trails for clusters of sites which combine active exercise with enjoyment of countryside and visiting castles and other sites.

Family trails

Many of the monuments offer exciting opportunities for discovering secret hideaways or excellent sites where families can imagine past events such as storming a castle, hiding from attackers, defending the gatehouse with boulders, arrows and hot oil! At the key sites for the Marcher Lords family trails should be developed, using the key characters, with special emphasis given to the role of the child characters who should lead the trail, giving a child’s viewpoint of the monument and the way it worked.

These Village and Family interpretive trails can be produced in a variety of ways depending upon budget, and existing and potential audiences for each site. They could be printed trails available for sale at the entrance and/or local outlets, or audio, or audio visual trails available for hire at the site entrance building on receipt of a credit card or deposit.

The trails should use the key characters of the site to explain the various features, relating these to their life or work at the monuments wherever possible. The characters should include children who lived or worked at the sites, presented as lively, colourful illustrations for leaflet and audio visual trails.

Audio posts

Audio posts offer opportunities to give visitors a personal view of an aspect or feature of a monument or site. The voice or voices on an audio post could be an actor speaking in role as one of the site’s key characters, explaining his or her role in the castle’s history. Alternatively, or additionally, the voice or voices could be of contemporary people such as the site manager, a local historian or archaeologist talking about the feature and its significance.

Audio posts help to make interpretation more inclusive and accessible. Sound is a good medium for many people. It has obvious advantages for visually impaired visitors but it also makes interpretation much more accessible to children, people with learning difficulties and those for whom Welsh or English is not their first language. Often, young children cannot read easily but can understand the spoken word. Audio posts can also include hearing aid loops to assist those with impaired hearing. It is comparatively easy to offer audio in a number of languages, depending on the range of visitors at a site. Audio interpretation can also include music, poetry, bird song and other sound effects as well as the spoken word. Outdoor audio posts, powered by long-life batteries or solar panels, could be sited as individual units or incorporated into interpretive panels and silhouette shapes of people and their belongings.

Audio and Audio visual tours and guides

Tours and guides involving the key characters of the site giving a personalised tour of the site or sites, explaining their role in the site’s history and particular aspects of its design or
location which made it particularly suitable for their needs can be an evocative means of interpretation. Tours could include Lord Rhys undertaking a tour of his castles at Dinefwr, Dryslwyn and Carmarthen in their heyday, or William Marshall talking about his fortification of Chepstow Castle explaining how he used his knowledge of castle design and structure in the Holy Land to improve the defences at Chepstow. These packages could be produced as audio guides for hire on site, or downloaded from the web. An audio visual guide on an iPod would enable illustrations and film to add to the experience, with opportunities for enhanced reality images to show structures as they were at the time of building as well as how they exist now.

Banners

We suggest that Cadw host workshops for families, schools and for community groups to develop banners and even tapestries for key castles, showing the key events that have happened at the monument associated with Deheubarth. The finished artwork could be displayed at the site perhaps in the café or beside seating, and printed onto a suitable weatherproof fabric to be flown from the flag post. This could be done annually, as banners will inevitably weather.

‘Mystery history’ family packs

These bags, which can be cotton backpacks or commercially available bags, can contain a collection of items which make discovering the history of the monument an exciting detective adventure. The items within the packs will vary according to the interest of the site, but could include:

- A pair of binoculars to enable families to find architectural features located high on the buildings
- A l-spy spotter’s trail with key items to find or see (linked to the ‘must see’ and ‘don’t miss’ features of the site)
- An audio tour led by one of the key characters identified for each site
- One or two play or ‘dressing up’ items linked to the history of the site, such as a cape or shawl made of woven Welsh wool, a hat or headdress that would have been worn by a woman working or living at the site, a soldier’s helmet, sword or shield or a small musical instrument that was played at events at the monument
- A simple board game and another item which is linked to an event at the castle such as model animals, replica keys or a bag of replica coins

The rucksack should have a list of items within it, with short interpretive text, or a label with interpretive text, explaining its role and use.

9.3 Delivering the interpretation – castle clusters

In this section we develop the principles and media proposed in 12.1 and 12.2 into site specific packages that will collectively provide a context for the overall story of the lords and explain elements of the story relevant to each site. We approach this by selecting a number of key castles and grouping them into clusters of sites where a theme, or themes, is developed and each site tells an element of the story.

Clusters of sites provide opportunities to tell a more complex story in small packages around a series of related sites. The complete story can be accessed through a tour of the sites, either on foot, on bike, by car or even by boat, although the individual elements of the story
are sufficiently robust to provide a vivid, ‘stand alone’ experience of the site, its history or its inhabitants. Each site within a cluster embodies a different storyline, or set of storylines, and the complete story from each cluster will explain a significant part of the overall theme.

Interpretation of each cluster requires a combination of off-site introductory and summary material, and on-site media using traditional and new technology methods. The package of materials would also include maps of routes, information about other facilities and attractions that can be experienced in the tour and details of where to find accommodation and shops/pubs. At each site we have selected at least two key characters that will usually represent people from the upper and lower classes. They will help to explain the significance of the site and some of the activities that happened there at their time. These characters will welcome the visitor into the site and explain a ‘snapshot’ of that story that will link with other sites within the cluster.

Cluster sites have been chosen for the following reasons:

- They are easily accessible
- They have strong stories to tell and interesting characters associated with them
- They are geographically close to allow an easy tour over a couple of days
- They have a known history that can contribute to building a coherent story for the whole cluster

Each cluster should tell a specific but broad story, and each site within the cluster should contribute an element of that story. The clusters should be pitched at a time that can tell that story, even though the history of the site spans a much longer period of time. We have chosen the periods because they work well for the sites and because they are critical periods in the history of the lords. Other on-site and off-site interpretation for the site, which is beyond the scope of this plan, may already explain other aspects of the site’s history, which adds to an overall appreciation of its heritage value.

The chosen characters represent important aspects of the lords’ history. The lead character is recorded in the historical and is closely associated with the site, while the other character, or characters, tend to be lower in the social strata but nevertheless have important stories to tell about the site. These characters may be real or fictitious and help to evoke some of the more ‘everyday’ aspects of life at that time. The characters have been chosen to give a mix of male and female characters and a mix of social strata. At the cluster sites where family ‘mystery history’ packs and trails are proposed the characters also include one or more children who lived and/or worked at the castle who will be the main narrators in the family and learning materials.

The interpretation package for each cluster needs to be seen as a whole with the various parts – website, interpretive maps, digital media, events, etc – being integrated and interdependent. It is important that delivery of the elements is coordinated to ensure there are no significant gaps in coverage. So, for example, the key characters identified for each monument need to appear or be referred to in the printed materials, in the audio and audio-visual materials, in the materials on the website, in the trails, in the ‘mystery history’ packs, in the spotters’ trails and as silhouette figures. Where appropriate and feasible they should also be included in any events that interpret their period of time at the monument.

The structure of these clusters together with the stories, characters and sites provide a framework for interpretation delivery for the lords. During the development of the interpretation other sites, stories and characters may come to light which could provide better opportunities than those suggested here. We strongly recommend that appropriate changes
are made to enhance the framework provided here and tell more appropriate aspects of the story.

The clusters provide an exciting and cohesive explanation of a part of the history of Wales while also encouraging people to spend more time in the area and sample the richness of its attractions, food and accommodation. This will bring additional local economic benefit.

We have identified four clusters of sites that help to develop the stories of the Lords of the Southern March. The table in Section 13 shows a detailed listing of clusters and sites.

These clusters are:

**The Marcher Lords secure the border**
- Chepstow Castle
- Tintern Abbey
- Monmouth Castle
- Grosmont Castle
- Skenfrith Castle
- White Castle

**The Marcher Lords secure the west**
- Carew Castle
- Llawhaden Castle
- Pembroke Castle
- Wiston Castle

**The Marcher Lords secure the coast**
- Kidwelly Castle
- Laugherne Castle
- Llansteffan Castle

**The Marcher Lords secure their status**
- Caerphilly Castle
- Cardiff Castles
- Castell Coch

**The Marcher Lords secure Gower**
- Swansea Castle
- Oystermouth Castle
- Oxwich Castle
- Weobley Castle

Other potential clusters that can help to explain the stories of the Lords of the Southern March, but which we have not developed, include:
Glamorgan

- Coity
- Newcastle
- Ogmore
- Llenblethian

The Marcher Lords secure the border

The linking story strand of this cluster is:

- The start of the conquest of south Wales and the struggle to maintain supremacy

This cluster (approximately 1067 –1240) comprises the first castle to be built by the Normans in Wales, Chepstow, the nearby Tintern Abbey, and Monmouth Castle which were, together, powerful statements of intent to subdue and stabilise Wales; and the three castles of Grosmont, White and Skenfrith that were built in the Monnow Valley to control the route between Hereford and Monmouth. For much of their early history these three castles were part of a block of territory under the control of a single lord and the five sites together formed part of a robust boundary between Norman England and the Welsh kingdoms.

The part of the story of the Lords of the Southern March to be told at these sites includes the beginning of the conquest of southern Wales with the building of Chepstow Castle by William fitz Osbern, the consolidation of power and the control of a major route between England and Wales with the three castles, and the development of a different type of culture and society as a frontier land.

Key elements

- How the lords began as confidants of King William and were sent to subdue and contain the Welsh principedoms and establish a firm Marcher base
- How castles were built as much as assertions of power as defences against raiders
- How the lords established towns which became centres of trade and commerce
- How the rights and administration of the Norman lords differed from those of lords in England but had similarities with those of the Welsh princes
- How religious communities provided a spiritual counterpoise for the lordships, and became economic powerhouses in their own right
- How the landscape influenced the lives of the people, and helped to determine the location of the sites
Chepstow Castle

Topics

- Built by the Norman, William fitz Osbern, as a statement of authority and a powerful base very soon after the Norman conquest of England

- The importance of the castle, and its associated town, as a trading centre between England and Wales

- **Key character 1 – William fitz Osbern** who commissioned Chepstow Castle in 1067 as a first foothold into Wales by the Norman lords

- **Key character 2 – William Marshall, Earl of Pembroke**, who greatly expanded the castle in the 1190s, and his wife **Isabella**

- **Key character 3 – a female cook** who can describe how she has to learn Norman culinary methods

- **Key character 4 – Master Reginald the Engineer** who built springalds, giant crossbows, for the top of the great tower

- **Key character 5 – Master Reginald the Engineer’s boy** who helped the engineer construct the springalds

Contents of media

- **Interpretation panels** – built by William Fitz Osbern as a statement of power soon after the Norman Conquest, and strategically located on a major crossing of the River Wye. The role of the lords in changing society in Wales

- **Display** in the renovated visitor centre – The role of the Marcher Lords in developing and maintaining a frontier between England and Wales and the relative independence they had – paying allegiance to the King, but not taxes; the work of Reginald the Engineer and his boy, building the springalds on the tower

- **Website and digital downloads** – William fitz Osbern arriving at Chepstow as agent of the King and establishing his castle. The stories of William Marshall and his five sons and their collective construction work at the castle

- **Events** – Fairs and re-enactments relating to the settlement of the Norman lords and their impact on the culture and society of Wales.

- **Learning materials** – building a castle at a strategic location – why William chose this place and how it contributed to establishing a Norman foothold in Wales.

- **Family trail** – exploring the castle, the loading winches into the river, the different coloured building stone, Marten’s Tower and its views across the town and castle, the well, the kitchens and cellars

- **Audio tour** – develop an audio tour with similar content to the digital downloads
**Tintern Abbey**

**Topics**
- Founded by Marcher Lord Walter de Clare – the first Cistercian foundation in Wales
- The Cistercians were one of the most successful orders in the 12th and 13th centuries – local people worked on their lands. Tintern was an important centre for spiritual and economic life.
- **Key Character 1** – The choirmaster who trained the monks in their daily singing and who can explain early medieval music
- **Key character 2** – A monk who can explain daily life in a religious community
- **Key character 3** - A shepherd boy who watches over the sheep

**Contents of media**
- **Interpretative panels** – Established by Walter de Clare in 1131 as a spiritual base for the Norman lords on the England/Wales border. The importance of monasteries in the cultural life of the 12th and 13th centuries. The role of the abbot in managing the estate and his influence on the lordships
- **Website and digital downloads** – Life in a medieval monastery, providing food and spiritual sustenance, and paying allegiance to the lord
- **Events** – Storytelling, music and re-enactment events about life in a monastery and the role and influence of the abbot in managing the estate, controlling the local economy and participating in political debate
- **Learning materials** – life in a medieval monastery and the role of individuals in the hierarchy
- **Self-guided landscape trail** – a trail starting and finishing at Tintern exploring part of the Wye Valley offering views of the land that would have been cultivated or grazed when it was a working monastery.
- **Display** – a small display describing the importance of the lords in founding and financing Christian monasticism.
- **Audio tour** – develop an audio tour with similar content to the digital downloads
- **'Mystery history' Pack** A pack containing binoculars, a ‘matching the people in the rooms and putting workers in their work places’ game, a sword, shield and helmet, and a model boat, plus other items
**Monmouth Castle**

**Topics**
- Commissioned by William Fitz Osberne in 1067 at the same time as Chepstow, to be the key strongholds of the Norman invasion of Wales
- The seat of the de Monmouth family following the division of the lordship around 1075, until 1256
- **Key character 1 – First Lord of Monmouth**, who established the new lordship in 1075
- **Key character 2** – another character to be identified during research for implementation of interpretation

**Contents of media**
- **Interpretive panels** – The role of the first Lord of Monmouth in establishing and building the lordship after the death of William Fitz Osberne, and the role of the Marcher Lords in establishing a foothold in Wales
- **Website and digital downloads** – similar content to the interpretive panels, with more explanation about the consolidation of the lordship from the points of view of the key characters

**Grosmont Castle**

**Topics**
- A domestic and administrative centre of the lordship of the Three Castles, which included White and Skenfrith Castles – built for comfort rather than as a fortress
- Later fortified by Hubert de Burgh as part of his estate – a powerful stronghold in the Welsh borders
- **Key character 1 – Hubert de Burgh**, who became the lord of the three castles when he was granted the lordship by King John in 1201 and who rebuilt and modernised Grosmont and Skenfrith with the most up-to-date military architecture of the day
- **Key character 2** – a mason who can describe how the structure of the castle was completed, and who was involved in developing the borough and church

**Contents of media**
- **Interpretive panels** – one of the three castles that controlled one of the main routes between England and Wales and a statement of power of the Marcher lords. The administrative centre of one of the earliest lordships in south Wales; the role of Hubert de Burgh in taking control of the three castles, strengthening defences and controlling the strategic route between Hereford and Monmouth
• **Website and digital downloads** – similar information as that in the interpretive panels. Hubert de Burgh recognising his role of steward of the area and controller of passage between England and Wales; the role of the mason in building the castle and describing the development of the borough and the church

• **Learning materials** – Life in a medieval castle. How medieval lords provided comfortable accommodation and living for themselves in contrast to the lives of ordinary people in the communities; the mason telling the story of the building of the castle and the development of the borough and church

• **Existing Three Castles Trail** – when revising and updating the trail guides and interpretation panels include stories and explanation about the role of the Marcher Lords

**Skenfrith Castle**

**Topics**

• Built as a fortress in the Monnow Valley, an important route between Hereford and South Wales, and upgraded using the latest military architecture by Hubert de Burgh

• An important defence against Welsh raiders, strategically placed with a moat fed by the river. The importance of the river and the dock as a means of communication

• **Key character 1 – the master mason** who was contracted to rebuild the ‘newfangled’ concentric castle’ here, which was cutting edge at the time, with a wall walk and timber hoarding as a ‘fighting gallery’, and up-to-date apartments in the tower keep for visiting lords

• **Key character 2 – a seamstress** who can describe how clothes were made for the ladies of the house

**Contents of media**

• **Interpretation panels** – A statement of power of the Marcher lords and an important fortress in the Monnow valley. The impact of the Norman lords on society and culture on the Wales/England border. How a Norman, or possibly a Welsh, mason had the responsibility of building new technologies into the castle to suit the aspirations of the wealthy owner.

• **Website and digital download** – Similar to the interpretive panels. Additional details of the role of the mason and some of his experiences in attempting to achieve his brief

• **No events proposed due to poor parking and other facilities**

• **Existing Three Castles Trail** – when revising and updating the trail guides and interpretation panels include stories and explanation about the role of the Marcher Lords.
White Castle

Topics

- Built as a fortress in the Monnow Valley, an important route between Hereford and South Wales
- The importance of geography – a trading route along the Monnow valley, with the castles dominating the high points
- Part of a block of territory which included Skenfrith and Grosmont castles, under the control of a single lord from 1201
- Key character 1 – Ralph of Grosmont, a royal official, who supervised building work at the castle, and the other two castles, in the late 12th century, before Hubert de Burgh was granted the lordship. Ralph spent royal funds on wooden, and later stone, fortifications including curtain walls
- Key character 2 – an archer who can describe how his bow and arrows were made and used

Contents of media

- Interpretive panels – a fortress, rather than a residence, built to protect the lordship and the route between Hereford and South Wales. How Ralph of Grosmont was given the task and the funds to improve the fortifications and how White Castle became a symbol of power and resistance to attack
- Panorama panel – at the top of the tower illustrating a reconstruction of the view of the valley in the 12th century
- Website and digital downloads – similar to that of the interpretive panels
- Events – although the car park is small there is opportunity to hold activities at the castle. Include medieval-themed activities and re-enactments, fetes, storytelling and music activities
- Existing Three Castles Trail – when revising and updating the trail guides and interpretation panels include stories and explanation about the role of the Marcher Lords

The Marcher Lords secure the west

The linking story strand of this cluster is:

- Building a secure Norman base in south west Wales

This cluster (approximately 1100 – 1240) consists of four castles that figured significantly in the conquest and settlement of what is now Pembrokeshire. Carew Castle has a strategic location on the Carew inlet and from its origins around the year 1100 it was a major fortress
and residence of Norman lords. **Llawnhadwen Castle** was built by the bishops of St David while **Wiston Castle** was established by Flemish immigrants encouraged to settle here by the Norman lords. **Pembroke Castle** is one of the most significant castles in Wales with its associations with William Marshall, one of the most powerful men in Europe and known as the greatest jouster of his age.

By 1135, nowhere in Wales was more firmly under Norman rule than the area that is now largely Pembrokeshire – the district on either side of the estuary of the Cleddau rivers. The story to be told at these sites is one of major colonisation by Anglo Normans, Flemings and other nationalities, the building and re-building of substantial castles, and the radiating of Norman enterprise outwards into Cemlais, Emlyn and eastern Dyfed.

**Key elements**

- How the lords established a bridgehead around the Cleddau river and forged a stable settlement allowing expansion to other territories
- How the introduction of Norman law and administration began to change society
- How the influence of Bishops of St David’s and the Abbots of Whitland Abbey impacted on society, indicating that Norman control was advancing beyond military forays and hegemony
- How stability of administration gradually brought peace and coexistence with the Kingdom of Deheubarth
- How the landscape influenced the lives of the people, and helped to determine the location of the sites

**Carew Castle**

**Topics**

- A strategically important site with a long history as a fortress and residence of Norman lords from the earliest times of the conquest
- The importance of the de Windsor / de Carew family in establishing Carew following its inclusion in the dowry of Nest, princess of Deheubarth, in her marriage to Gerald de Windsor
- Consolidation, rather than further expansion, of Marcher society – the law and custom of the March – after 1170
- **Key character 1** – Gerald de Windsor, who built the original stone keep
- **Key character 2** – Nest de Windsor who married Gerald, princess of Deheubarth and daughter of Rhys ap Tewdwr, who had brought the manor of Carew as part of her dowry (cross reference with Cilgerren Castle)
- **Key character 3** – a miller who was responsible for the tidal mill
Contents of media

- **Website and digital downloads** – the creation of a Norman castle and borough in an impressive location, served by the estuary of the Cleddau river. How Gerald married well, to a Welsh woman with means and a healthy dowry, who enabled him to achieve his dreams of establishing a major Norman settlement

- **Interpretation panels** – similar information to that of the website, in negotiation with the owner of the site and the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority

- **Events** – Life in a medieval castle – how the Normans influenced medieval Wales, and the lives of Gerald de Windsor and his wife, Nest, in creating a magnificent ‘seat’; integrate with existing events programme arranged by Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority

- **Learning materials** – Life in a medieval castle, the impact of Anglo Normans on Welsh society, the gradual shift to co-existence between Welsh and Anglo-Norman rulers, the life of Gerald in marrying a princess and building the castle of his dreams

- **Self-guided landscape trail** – develop in partnership with PCNPA

- **Audio posts** – similar content to the digital downloads, but constructed as a tour to link with the landscape trail

*Llawhaden Castle*

**Topics**

- The power of the Bishops of St David’s in creating a fortress, and their impact on Marcher and Welsh society, and the vast estates they controlled

- **Key character 1 – Bishop Bernard**, who constructed the first castle here in 1115 to protect the estates of the Bishops of St David’s

- **Key character 2 – a gardener** who can explain his tasks in maintaining the gardens and growing herbs and vegetables

- **Key character 3 – a clerk** who kept records of the castle and the Bishop

**Contents of media**

- **Interpretation panels** – The impact of the Bishops of St David’s on Welsh and Norman society. The life of Bishop Bernard, trying to manage his estates and protecting them from attack by Welsh princes

- **Website and digital downloads** – The importance of religious communities to society, the economy, and the power their leaders wielded, often equivalent to the lords of the realm; the life of Bishop Bernard in building his estate and his castle, the gardener who looked after the castle gardens and the clerk who kept the records of the estate
• **Learning materials** – life in a Norman monastic castle – how it worked, why it was so well protected and how it influenced everyone in the community. What a Bishop has to do to protect his estate and his income, and the roles of the gardener and clerk

**Pembroke Castle (subject to discussions with the Trustees)**

**Topics**

• Founded by Roger of Montgomery and established as the heart of the Norman controlled lands of south west Wales

• Home of William Marshall

• **Key Character 1 – William Marshall**, who rose from relative obscurity to be one of the most powerful men in Europe, who was ransomed by Eleanor of Aquitaine and made a good living by winning tournaments

• **Key character 2 – a maid of the bedchamber** who can explain domestic life

**Contents of media**

• Develop partnership opportunities with the Trustees of Pembroke Castle

• Create links between the existing website and that of Cadw

• Arrange joint events

• Consider joint display

**Wiston Castle**

**Topics**

• The significance of the building of the castle by Wizo the Fleming – the deliberate settlement of Flemings and other nationalities in the March

• **Key character 1 – Wizo the Fleming**, a Flemish knight, who founded the castle and borough, and funded it with ‘exclusive’ support from two bishops

• **Key character 2 – a weaver** who can explain the techniques of weaving imported from Flanders

**Contents of media**

• **Interpretation panels** – The story of Wizo and what he represented as a settler in South Wales, as part of the Norman conquest and expansion. How Wizo built a lordship and created his borough and church. Opportunities for partnership panels with St Mary Magdalene Church; the viewpoint of the weaver in the development of the castle and the borough

• **Website and digital downloads** – how Wizo contrived to fund his castle and settlements through ‘exclusive’ support and funding from both the Bishops of Worcester and Gloucester (each thought they were sole supporters)
• **Events** – Creating a Flemish embroidery (like a Bayeux Tapestry), through the school and the community, to hang as a banner or a flag to be flown from the castle tower. Work with local craft organisations, such as quilt-makers, embroiders’ guild or a local college to develop creative textile-based arts and crafts for an exhibition

• **Self-guided landscape trail** – Connecting the castle to the village and community, and surrounding countryside

**The Marcher Lords secure the coast**

The linking story strand of this cluster is:

• **Creating strongholds to dominate access from the sea**

This cluster (approximately 1107 – 1200) comprises a group of castles on the south coast that were built by Norman lords to defend their new settlements. **Kidwelly, Laugharne** and **Llansteffan Castles** were built as Norman coastal strongholds and frequently changed hands between Anglo Normans and Welsh princes.

The castles in this area were built as massive fortresses to defend the coast and to resist attack by Welsh princes. These castles were seized by Rhys ap Gruffudd in the 1180s, recaptured by Normans, held by Llywelyn the Great and then granted back to Norman lords in the mid 13th century. The stories here are of robust defence of the coast and the coastal lordships, of trade by sea and of frequent changes in control and patronage.

**Key elements**

• How the lords established a foothold on the coast and defended it robustly against attack

• How shifting control and allegiances left its mark on society and the fabric of the buildings

• How the massive structures of the castles and their associated burgage plots in prominent locations became a visible expression of domination and a guarantee of conquest

• How the Welsh princes were able to capture and control this area after the death of Henry II

• How the castles were eventually returned to Norman rule

• How the landscape of the coast, with its coast road, influenced the lives of the people, and helped to determine the location of these sites
Kidwelly Castle

Topics

- Founded by Roger, Bishop of Salisbury, one of the most influential figures in Henry I’s court
- The importance of design in military architecture for providing robust defence in coastal settlements
- The changes in ownership and control between princes and lords, and the impacts on these changes on the community and the economy
- Key character 1 – Roger, Bishop of Salisbury, a priest in the town of Avranches in Normandy, who rose to be Chancellor and chief minister for Henry I
- Key character 2 – Maurice de Londres who fought in the battle of Maes Gwenllian where Gwenllian, the wife of Gruffudd ap Rhys, was killed
- Key character 3 – Gwenllian, the wife of Gruffudd ap Rhys
- Key character 4 – a Norman soldier, garrisoned at Kidwelly Castle, who was involved in many skirmishes to defend the castle, and under the lordship of Maurice
- Key character 5 – a drummer boy who accompanies the soldiers to battle

Contents of media

- Interpretation panels – the power of the lords in establishing settlements in coastal areas and the frequent changes in ownership and control; the role of a Norman soldier in defending a castle and in participating in battle
- Panorama panel – panel showing views from the castle of the Gower, Worm’s Head, Tenby and Caldey Island, and explaining the importance of the three castles
- Website and digital downloads – similar to above. Detailed account of life of a Norman soldier in times of peace and then involved in a major battle (resonances with experiences of soldiers throughout history and into modern times)
- Activities and events – re-enactments, medieval fairs, storytelling events and other activities to bring the castle alive and illuminate the story of a soldier’s lot
- Learning materials – the life of a Norman soldier – the boring bits contrasting with the utterly terrifying. Surviving a major battle but contemplating the loss of life on both sides
- ‘Mystery history’ pack – a pack containing binoculars, sword, shield, helmet, a drummer boy’s eye-spy guide, a drum, and a game following the plight of attackers in trying to gain access through the concentric walls
- Self-guided landscape trail – exploring the castle and the town of Kidwelly, their historic features and the importance of
location for the castle

- **Audio tour** – develop an audio tour with the key characters explaining elements of the story at different places around the castle, including their own personal experiences

---

**Laugharne Castle**

**Topics**

- The changes in ownership and control between princes and lords, and the impacts on these changes on the community and the economy; the castle was constantly taken by the Welsh and wrecked, and won back by the Normans.

- **Key character 1 – a steward** of Laugharne castle who prepared the castle for the visit of Henry II of England and Rhys ap Gruffudd in 1171/2 where they signed a peace agreement

- **Key character 2 – a boatman** who can describe bringing in supplies of all kinds to the castle

---

**Contents of media**

- **Interpretation panels** – the difficulties of maintaining a castle and a settlement in times of conflict. The role of the Steward in looking after a castle and in preparing it for important events such as a king’s, or prince’s, visit

- **Panorama panel** – showing the view over the Taf estuary, the town and explaining the importance of the castle’s location and its access by sea

- **Website and digital downloads** – similar to interpretation panel, plus a personal account of the travails of a steward in keeping a castle in good order and preparing it for a king’s visit

- **Activities and events** – storytelling, fairs and children’s events

- **Learning materials** – how to keep a castle clean and safe when it keeps being attacked – the travails of a medieval steward

---

**Llansteffan Castle**

**Topics**

- The importance of location in providing a stronghold on the coast and protecting against attack from the sea

- The changes in ownership and control between princes and lords, and the impacts on these changes on the community and the economy

- **Key character 1 – Maurice fitz Gerald**, Lord of Llansteffan and leader of the Norman settlers in the region, who lost the castle to the forces of Rhys ap Gruffudd in 1146
• **Key character 2** – a carter who can describe the task of delivering goods to the castle on the hill

**Contents of media**

• **Interpretation panels** – the power of the lords in establishing settlements in coastal areas and the frequent changes in ownership and control; the strife of Maurice fitz Gerald in defending the castle and losing it in battle with Rhys ap Gruffudd

• **Website and digital downloads** – Similar to above, but with more detail on the life of a Norman lord and the difficulties of maintaining control of a castle

• **Panorama panel** – explaining the view over the Tywi estuary and the importance of the castle’s location, the proximity of Laugharne and Kidwelly castles, and the stories of invasion of south Wales from the sea.

**The Marcher Lords secure their status**

The linking story strand of this cluster is:

• **Three castles built to defend and dominate, restored to delight and impress**

This cluster (approximately 1090 – 1300, plus 19th/20th Century restorations) is made up of three magnificent and iconic buildings, Caerphilly and Cardiff castles and Castell Coch. Each of these three castles is visually impressive and each has an amazing impact today as they must have done at the time they were built. They are geographically close in the valleys of the Rivers Taff and Rhymney; they demonstrate the development of Norman military architectural design and they are also superb examples of the enthusiastic and ambitious preservation and re-creation work undertaken by the 3rd and 4th Marquesses of Bute in the 19th and 20th centuries. The work undertaken for the Bute family demonstrates their power and wealth as the castle owners, reflecting peacefully that of the Marcher Lords.

Cardiff Castle began as a classic motte and bailey and was one of the first such castles constructed after the invasion of South Wales by the Normans. It was extended and altered over succeeding centuries by a succession of powerful families. Caerphilly Castle was the first concentric castle built in Britain and is the largest medieval fortress in Wales, rapidly built on a virgin site at the instigation of Gilbert de Clare to defend his territory against the Welsh princes. The early origins of Castell Coch are less obvious but it was rebuilt in stone by Gilbert de Clare as a hunting lodge and to defend his territory against Llywelyn the Last. All three have been restored.

**Key elements**

• How the Marcher Lords’ castles were built to defend, dominate and intimidate, demonstrating power and creating awe

• How the castles reflected or incorporated changes first in military and then in grand domestic architecture from the time they were built

• How elaborate restoration was carried out in the 19th and 20th centuries by the Marquesses of Bute

**Caerphilly Castle**

**Topics**

• The largest medieval fortress in Wales and a significant castle within western Europe.

• Begun in 1268 by the Marcher lord, Gilbert de Clare, it was unusual as it was built on a ‘green-field’ site; it was built rapidly and was the first concentric castle in Britain with
formidable defences and surrounded by water; it was a revolutionary masterpiece of military planning and a forerunner for Edwardian castles in North Wales such as Harlech and Beaumaris

- Famous for its impressive great hall and 'leaning tower' which leans more than the Leaning Tower of Pisa
- Elements of the castle were carefully and expensively restored by the Marquis of Bute in the 19th Century
- **Key characters 1 and 2– Gilbert de Clare, Lord of Glamorgan, and his wife Isabella, who built the castle.**
- **Key character 3 and 4 – a military engineer** who was involved in the design and construction of the castle and his young assistant.
- **Key character 5 – Hugh Despenser** whose father retreated to the castle in 1326 with Edward II and later slipped away while Hugh stayed under siege for some months
- **Key characters 6 – 4th Marquess of Bute** who undertook the major part of the castle’s restoration

**Contents of media**

- **Interpretation panels** – The key characters talking about the individual features of importance and interest around the castle (largely as at present), how this provided superior military advantage and how this related to the stories of the dominance of the Marcher Lords

- **Display(s)** – The historical context, the innovative design to meet the perceived threats, the concentric and moated castle in a valley site, the benefits of its design for effective defence and how it offered comfortable living, as well as a superb status symbol of the Marcher Lords; restoration and recreation work of the Bute family (all largely as at present)

- **Website and digital downloads** – similar to the content of the display and of the interpretive panels telling personal stories of the people involved in the building and running of the castle

- **Audio trail** – an entertaining and evocative tail of the development of an Anglo Norman castle told through the experiences of the key characters, explaining key elements at stopping places around the castle

- **Events** – events and storytelling about life in this medieval castle

- **Learning materials** – life in a medieval castle and the roles of Gilbert de Clare and other members of his household in building an Anglo Norman stronghold
• ‘Mystery history’ pack – a pack containing a sword, shield and helmet, a game exploring the spectacular concentric defences of the castle, a ‘design your heraldic shield’ activity, and a spotters’ guide from the viewpoint of the military engineer’s young assistant

**Cardiff Castle**

**Topics**

• Motte and bailey castle with a moat built by Robert fitz Hamon, a close associate of William the Conqueror, in 1091, on the site of a Roman fort; the original castle would have been built of timber to housing the lord, his household and garrison.

• The castle was later rebuilt in stone and was an important stronghold of the Marcher Lords who successively altered and extended it.

• The exterior of the castle was rebuilt and redesigned by the Victorian architect William Burges in Gothic Revival style for the 3rd Marquess of Bute; both were enthusiastic medievalists who sought to recreate medieval grandeur.

• **Key character 1: Robert fitz Hamon, Lord of Gloucester**

• **Key Character 2: a local baker** who supplied food for the soldiers involved in building the motte and bailey

• **Key character 3 and 4; 3rd Marquess of Bute and William Burges**

**Contents of Media**

Interpretive media are already well developed at the castle by Cardiff Council. There are opportunities for partnership interpretive projects between Cadw and Cardiff Council.

**Castell Coch**

**Topics**

• Originally a Welsh fortified site, possibly associated with the Welsh rulers of Senghenydd. Both Ifor Bach and Gruffudd ap Rhys may have been connected with Castell Coch

• Located half way up a steep hillside, overlooking lowland area of the coastal plains and the entrance to the Taff valley

• In the late 13th C the site was claimed by Gilbert de Clare and rebuilt in stone as a hunting lodge and to secure the northern area of his territory against Welsh and their leader Llywelyn the Last

• Romantic and elaborate Gothic Revival interiors designed by Victorian architect William Burges for the 3rd Marquess of Bute

• **Key characters 1: Gilbert de Clare, one of the most powerful of the Marcher Lords who built or commanded a number of castles in Morgannwg**

• **Key character 2: the gatekeeper** who can describe his role in admitting or refusing admission to a variety of people

• **Key character 3 – a servant girl** who served at the great hall, talking about the menus at the feasts, and overhearing discussions

• **Key character 3 and 4 – 3rd Marquess of Bute and William Burges who restored the castle**
Contents of media

- **Interpretation panels** – original stone castle built by Gilbert de Clare to secure his territory, and his role in developing this huge statement of power in the Southern Marches; aspects of restoration work by the Marquess of Bute
- **Website and digital downloads** – historical overview, architecture and aspirations of William Burgess and 3rd Marquess of Bute
- **Events** – storytelling about life in this medieval castle.
- **Learning materials** – life in a medieval castle; techniques of restoration
- **‘Mystery history’ pack** – a pack containing a ‘design your medieval ceiling’ game, spotters’ guide, binoculars, a ‘write your own medieval menu’ activity, and a Victorian top hat and an architect’s ruler

The Marcher Lords secure Gower

The linking story strand of this cluster is:

*Consolidating the lordship of Gower and preparing for attack by the forces of Owain Glyndwr*

This cluster (approximately 1300 – 1420) includes **Swansea Castle** which was the Caput of the Lordship of Gower; **Oystermouth Castle**, a statement of power and an impressive residence for the de Braose family; **Oxwich Castle**, a ‘knight’s fee’ of the lordship, later reworked as a Tudor residence, and **Weobley Castle**, built by a steward of the de Braose lords of Gower. These castles have a long history but their interpretation here is pitched during the 14th and early 15th centuries beginning with John, Lord Mowbray, inheriting Gower from his mother Alina in 1331 and rebuilding Swansea and Oystermouth castles, and finishing with the invasion of Gower by the forces of Owain Glyndwr.

**Key elements**

- How, after a period of turmoil during which the lordship passed between the de Braose family, Lord Mowbray, the crown, the Despenser family and then back to the Mowbrays, the lordship and the castles were rebuilt and consolidated during the 1330s
- How the lordship prospered during the 13th century and castles and fortified manors were built, or re-built, by knights and officials
- How the castles and the defence of the lordship were largely entrusted to local officials, whose loyalty and diligence helped to secure their safety
- How preparations needed to be made at the turn of the century for a feared Welsh rebellion under Owain Glyndwr, including repairs to Swansea Castle and garrisoning it in readiness for battle
- How Owain Glyndwr overran and held Gower in 1403-5 and inflicted damage to Swansea and Weobley castles

**Swansea Castle**

**Topics**

- The quality of the building, including its fine arcaded parapet, which provided sumptuous accommodation befitting its role as the caput of the lordship of Gower.
• The associations with Henry of Gower, Bishop of St David’s, whose building work at St David’s and Lampheyl the arcaded parapet closely resembles.

• The preparations at the turn of the 14th Century to defend the castle during the Owain Glyndwr rebellion.

• **Key character 1 – Lord Mowbray**, who commissioned the rebuilding of the castle after the damage it is likely to have sustained during the invasion of Gower by Rhys ap Maredudd in 1287.

• **Key character 2 – a building foreman** commissioned to oversee the rebuilding work and to follow the exacting specifications laid down by Lord of Gower.

• **Key character 3 – Sir Hugh Waterton**, a prominent official entrusted to ready the defences of the castle for fear of attack by Owain Glyndwr.

**Contents of media**

• **Interpretive panels** – The importance of the castle as the *caput* of the lordship and a display of the influence and affluence of the Lord of Gower; the challenging task for the foreman in interpreting the plans for rebuilding the castle.

• **Website and digital downloads** – The castle, its importance for the lordship, its earlier origins and its rebuilding in the 14th century. The roles of the Lord of Gower in commissioning rebuilding work and of the foreman in overseeing the rebuilding work. The preparation of the castle for possible attack by Owain Glyndwr.

**Oystermouth Castle**

**Topics**

• The comfort and standard of living of the castle that suited the transfer to Oystermouth of the principal residence of the lordship of Gower from Swansea Castle towards the end of the 13th Century.

• The decline in importance of the castle once the Lords of Gower were living elsewhere in England.

• **Key character 1 – William de Broase III**, Lord of Gower until his death in 1326, whose family had built the castle in stone replacing an earlier wooden Motte and Bailey castle, who squandered his fortune and tried to sell the lordship due to a shortage of money.

• **Key character 2 – Aline de Braose**, one of the two daughters of William de Braose III, who improved the chapel at Oystermouth making it one of the finest in any castle in south Wales. Aline married Lord Mowbray who was subsequently executed by Edward II, and passed the castle and the lordship to her son.

**Contents of media – subject to agreement by the owners of the castle, the City and County of Swansea Council**

• **Website and digital downloads** – The story of the de Braose family, its power and influence, and its decline after the death of the last lord. The role of Aline in helping to improve the castle and, by marrying Lord Mowbray, in passing the lordship to the Mowbray family.
• **Display** – Include interpretation of the de Braose family, and Aline, in the display that will be included in the new visitor facilities at Oystermouth Castle

• **Self-guided landscape trail** – a trail to include the castle and its location on the coast and other heritage features in the town

• **Audio tour** – the role of the de Braose family in developing the castle, and of the Marcher Lords in securing a stronghold in Gower as told by the key characters. Explanation of features of the castle

**Oxwich Castle**

**Topics**

• How the castle was held by Robert de Penres who disputed the extent of the nature and powers of William de Braose II but whose family had held the castle under a ‘Knight's fee’ since the 1230’s

• How Norman society included knights who held smaller castles and paid ‘fees’ to the lordship

• How the castle was subsequently greatly altered and moved from its original site to become a magnificent Tudor mansion

• **Key character 1** – **Robert de Penres**, knight of the lordship of Gower, who held the castle and paid allegiance to the lord, though who had dispute with William de Braose over the extent of his powers

• **Key character 2** – a **Knight’s man servant** who headed the household and had a host of stories to tell about the knight, his family and the servants of the castle

**Contents of media**

• **Interpretation panels** – explaining the role of Robert de Penres as a knight of the lordship, and his servant in running the household and estate

• **Website and digital download** – An explanation of the earlier stories of the castle before Tudor times, when it was held by a knight of the Lordship of Gower; the stories of an imaginary man servant who helped to run the household of the knight

**Weobley Castle**

**Topics**

• How the castle, a fortified manor house, was constructed with limited fortifications as a ‘fee’ of the lordship, by the de la Bere family, steward to de Braose, Lord of Gower

• How the castle was built as a residence of the family rather than a strong defended site, perhaps as a response to more settled times of the mid 14th Century

• How the castle subsequently fell to the Welsh rebellion of Owain Glyndwr when his forces overran Gower in 1403 – 05. It is likely that John de la Bere, who died in 1403, may have met his demise during the battle for the castle

• **Key character 1** – **David de la Bere**, Steward to the de Braose family, who built the castle as a gracious fortified manor house, between 1304 and 1327

• **Key character 2** – the **Castellan** of the castle who prepared it for attack by the forces of Owain Glyndwr and saw it lost in battle
Contents of media

- **Interpretive panels** – An explanation of the role of a steward of the lordship, and why the castle was built as a fortified manor house. The inherent weakness of the castle, seen through the eyes of its Castellan, in resisting attack by the determined forces of Owain Glyndwr.

- **Website and digital downloads** – The role of the steward of the lordship and why the castle was built as a fortified manor house. The inherent weakness of the castle in resisting attack by the determined forces of Owain Glyndwr. The story of the Castellan in preparing for attack by Owain Glyndwr and then seeing the castle taken by his forces.

- **Panorama panel** – showing the view from the castle over the Llanrhidian marsh, its strategic position on the estuary, and the role of the castle in the centre of a thriving farming estate.

- **Self-guided landscape trail** – using existing footpaths west along the edge to Castle Wood and Hambury Wood Nature Reserve, and east to Leeson and Llanrhidian; the trail would illustrate the location of the castle overlooking the estuary and the importance of the diversity of the landscape for medieval life – grazing land, woodland, marsh, etc.

Other sites

In addition to the sites we have incorporated within the clusters, there are many others both in Cadw’s ownership and in the ownership of others. Our proposal is that as many as feasible of these should be marked by a single bi-lingual interpretive panel or a pair of panels (in Welsh and English) to relate each site to the story of the Norman Lords (and Princes of Deheubarth where appropriate – marked PoD). As funds become available, additional interpretive material could be produced. The sites are:

- Ammanford Castle
- Bronllys Castle
- Caldicot Castle
- Coity Castle
- Haverfordwest Castle and Museum
- Llanblethian Castle
- Llandovery Castle
- Loughor Castle
- Manorbier Castle
- Narberth Castle
- Neath Abbey
- Newcastle Castle
- Newcastle Emlyn
- Newport Castle (Gwent)
- Newport Castle (Pembs)
- Ogmore Castle
- Penalli Cross [PoD]
- Raglan Castle
- St Clears Castle
- Cathedral of St David
- Tenby Castle and town walls
- Tretower Castle
- Whitland Abbey [PoD]
10 Action table

The following comprehensive table summarises the proposals in Section 9. Because many of the castles and other sites associated with Lords of the Southern March also have associations with the Princes of Deheubarth, these latter sites have been included after those associated with the Lords of the Southern March.
## Clusters of Castles associated principally with the Lords of the Southern March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Marcher Lords secure the border – the start of the conquest of south Wales and the struggle to maintain supremacy

- Containing the Welsh
- Castles as assertions of power
- Towns established as trading centres
- Role of religious communities
- Influence of landscape

**1.1 Chepstow Castle**
- Built by William Fitz Osbern as an early statement of dominance
- Important trading centre between England and Wales
- William Fitz Osbern
- William Marshall & his wife Isabella
- Female cook
- Reginald the Engineer
- Boy
- Interpretive panels
- Display
- Website & digital media
- Events
- Learning materials
- Family trail
- Audio tour
- 'Mystery history' pack
- High

**1.2 Tintern Abbey**
- Walter de Clare found first Cistercian monastery in Wales
- Important centre of spiritual and economic activity
- Choirmaster
- Monk
- Shepherd boy
- Interpretive panels
- Website & digital media
- Events
- Learning materials
- Self-guided trail
- Display
- Audio tour
- High

**1.3 Monmouth Castle**
- Commissioned by William Fitz Osberne
- Lord of Monmouth
- Another
- Interpretive panels
- Website & digital
- Medium
## Clusters of Castles associated principally with the Lords of the Southern March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Grosmont Castle</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Seat of Lords of Monmouth</td>
<td>Hubert de Burgh Mason</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Website &amp; digital media, Learning materials, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mater mason Seamstress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph of Grosmont Archer</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Panorama panel, Website &amp; digital media, Events, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Skenfrith Castle</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>One three fortresses, home and administrative centre of Pain Fitz John Later fortified by Hubert de Burgh</td>
<td>Hubert de Burgh Mason</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Website &amp; digital media, Learning materials, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mater mason Seamstress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph of Grosmont Archer</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Panorama panel, Website &amp; digital media, Events, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>White Castle</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>One of three fortresses guarding key trading route using latest architecture Moated defence against Welsh raiders on River Monnow</td>
<td>Hubert de Burgh Mason</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Website &amp; digital media, Learning materials, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mater mason Seamstress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph of Grosmont Archer</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Panorama panel, Website &amp; digital media, Events, Three Castles trail</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Marcher Lords secure the west – *building a secure Norman stronghold in south west Wales*

- Forging a stable settlement
- Impact of Norman law
- Influence of Bishops
- Peace and coexistence with Deheubarth
- Influence of landscape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Carew Castle</td>
<td>Strategically important and long-held Norman castle Importance of the de Windsor and de Carew families Consolidation of Marcher</td>
<td>Gerald de Windsor Nest de Windsor Miller</td>
<td>Interpretive panels, Website &amp; digital media, Events, Learning materials</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Clusters of Castles associated principally with the Lords of the Southern March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Llawhaden Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Pembroke Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Wiston Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## The Marcher Lords secure the coast – creating strongholds to dominate access from the sea

Robust defence ▶ Shifting control and allegiances ▶ Visible domination ▶ Welsh recapture area ▶ Castles return to Norman rule ▶ Influence of landscape

<p>| 3.1 | Kidwelly Castle | | | | | | | | | Built by Roger, Bishop of Salisbury Importance of military architecture Changes in control between princes and lords and impact on community | Roger, Bishop of Salisbury Maurice de Londres Gwenllian Soldier Drummer boy | Interpretive panels Panorama panel Website &amp; digital media Events Learning materials ‘Mystery history’ | High |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Laugharne Castle</td>
<td>Frequent changes of control between lords and princes</td>
<td>Steward of Laugharne Boatman</td>
<td>Interpretive panels Panorama panel Website &amp; digital media Events Learning materials</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Llansteffan Castle</td>
<td>Importance of defensive military design Changes in ownership and impact on community</td>
<td>Maurice fitz Gerald Carter</td>
<td>Interpretive panels Website &amp; digital media Panorama panel</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Marcher Lords secure their status – three castles built to defend and dominate, restored to delight and impress**

Defending, dominating and intimidating + Changes in architectural style + Major restorations in the 19th and 20th centuries

<p>| 4.1 | Caerphilly Castle | Largest medieval castle in Wales Concentric moated design and leaning tower Impressive restoration | Gilbert de Clare Isabella de Clare Military engineer Young assistant Hugh Despenser 4th Marquess of Bute | Interpretive panels Display Website &amp; digital media Audio trail Events Learning materials ‘Mystery history’ pack | High |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Cardiff Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Castell Coch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Marcher Lords secure Gower – **Consolidating the lordship of Gower and preparing for attack by the forces of Owain Glyndwr**

Consolidating the Lordship ❖ The role of Knights and officials ❖ Preparing for attack by Owain Glyndwr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Swansea Castle</td>
<td>Quality of the building</td>
<td>Lord Mowbray</td>
<td>Interpretive panels</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Associations with Henry of Gower</td>
<td>A building foreman</td>
<td>Website &amp; digital media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preparing for attack by Owain Glyndwr</td>
<td>Sir Hugh Waterton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Oystermouth Castle</td>
<td>Comfort and standard of  living</td>
<td>William de Braose III</td>
<td>Website &amp; digital media</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decline in importance</td>
<td>Aline de Braose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red Kite Environment
Touchstone Heritage Management Consultants
Anglezarke Dixon Associates
May 2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Oxwich Castle</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Role in history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Weobley Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Site (non-Cadw sites)</td>
<td>Themes - Princes</td>
<td>Themes - Lords</td>
<td>Topics at individual sites</td>
<td>Key Characters</td>
<td>Principal Media</td>
<td>Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Role of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ammanford Castle</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bronllys Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caldicot Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carmarthen Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Coity Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Llanblethian Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Llandovery Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Loughor Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Narberth Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Neath Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Newcastle Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Newcastle Emlyn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Newport Castle (Gwent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Newport Castle (Pembs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ogmore Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Penallt Cross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Raglan Castle</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>St Clears Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>St David’s Cathedral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tenby Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tretower Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Whitland Abbey</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Various topics but principally links with the overall story; Two sites have links with the Princes of Deheubarth

Links with overall story will be principal content

Standard Interpretive panel or panels

Low
### Clusters of Castles associated principally with the Princes of Deheubarth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Carreg Cennen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Built by the sons of Lord Rhys</td>
<td>Rhys Fychan Nursemaid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Castle keeps changing hands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Dinefwr Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chief seat of Deheubarth</td>
<td>Lord Rhys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commanding view over Tywi Valley</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Site (non-Cadw sites)</td>
<td>Roles in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc.</td>
<td>Topics at individual sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Dryslwyn Castle</td>
<td>Central to security of Deheubarth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Talley Abbey</td>
<td>Centre of Christianity in Deheubarth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Llandovery Castle</td>
<td>One of the first castles seized by Lord Rhys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Carmarthen Castle</td>
<td>Seized by Lord Rhys in 1143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Clusters of Castles associated principally with the Princes of Deheubarth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site (non-Cadw sites)</th>
<th>Themes - Princes</th>
<th>Themes - Lords</th>
<th>Topics at individual sites</th>
<th>Key Characters</th>
<th>Principal Media</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Joy of Lords</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Impact Lords</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strife &amp; alliances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact Lords</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Impact Lords</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strife &amp; alliances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td>Social &amp; cultural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### The Flowering and Fading of Deheubarth – The celebration of Welsh culture and decline of Deheubarth

**Capital at Cardigan**
- **Loyalty of common people**
- **Conflict and coexistence**
- **Dissipation of power**
- **Fragmented inheritance**
- **Influence of landscape**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>Cardigan Castle</th>
<th>Norman castle taken over by Lord Rhys</th>
<th>Lord Rhys</th>
<th>Performer</th>
<th>Interpretive panels</th>
<th>Website &amp; digital media</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First ‘national’ eisteddfod in Wales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Cilgerran Castle</td>
<td>Earth and timber castle to defend to Rhys’s territory</td>
<td>Nest</td>
<td>Maid servant</td>
<td>Interpretive panels</td>
<td>Website &amp; digital media</td>
<td>Family trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scene of the abduction of Nest by Owain ap Cadwgan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Nevern Castle</td>
<td>Frequent changes between Norman and Welsh occupation</td>
<td>Lord Rhys</td>
<td>Castellan</td>
<td>Interpretive panels</td>
<td>Website &amp; digital media</td>
<td>Self-guided trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scene of imprisonment of Rhys ap Gruffudd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Strata Florida Abbey</td>
<td>Founded by Rhys ap Gruffudd</td>
<td>Prior</td>
<td>Scribe</td>
<td>Interpretive panels</td>
<td>Website &amp; digital media</td>
<td>Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burial place of many princes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extensive influence of Abbey’s land holdings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Site (non-Cadw sites)</td>
<td>Themes - Princes</td>
<td>Themes - Lords</td>
<td>Topics at individual sites</td>
<td>Key Characters</td>
<td>Principal Media</td>
<td>Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Role in history</td>
<td>Strife and alliances</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
<td>Social and cultural</td>
<td>Role of castles, etc</td>
<td>Impact of Lords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Penallt Cross</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Dogmael’s Abbey</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whitland Abbey</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11 Monitoring and evaluation

Medieval gate at Chepstow Castle  RKE
11.1 Monitoring and evaluation

As a precursor to monitoring and evaluation of interpretation, it is important to set targets and performance measures, not least to give yardsticks against which to judge performance. The number of visitors both to the castles and other sites is recorded in most cases; comparative figures should be recorded formally as a constant base against which to judge changes over a period.

Mechanisms for judging the success of new interpretive initiatives will need to be put in place and these are proposed below.

We recommend the following action:

- Pre-testing of new interpretive media
- Monitoring of the use of interpretive media (including use by different audiences and those with accessibility challenges)
- Evaluation of media
- Evaluation of the interpretive approach as a whole

There are many methods that can be used to undertake each of these activities and we identify a number of them below. The list is not exhaustive but indicates some of the methods that can be employed to take stock of different elements of the interpretive approach. Wherever possible, pre-change data should be obtained in order to provide for immediate comparisons and to establish base lines of ‘graphs’ for continued monitoring and evaluation.

11.2 Pre-testing

Pre-testing is something that many organisations fail to do because ‘deadlines’ are cited as the need to get things on the ground, or out in the public domain. On the principle of ‘getting it right, rather than getting it now’, we advocate strongly that the Cadw tests out new media, from our proposals for printed material and panels to audio-guides and events before committing final expenditure and implementation work. A further benefit of this approach is in ensuring that the local community is given a chance to feed into the development process both by commenting and by participating. However, Cadw already has considerable experience of implementing interpretation and some pre-testing may not be necessary.

In terms of printed and similar material, this process is now simpler and cheaper with the availability of computer-derived artwork that can be produced inexpensively and circulated, if testing printed material, or set up with suitable lamination if testing text or plaques. Reactions can be sought from selected or random users / viewers, from ‘focus groups’ or otherwise chosen groups of people, or by other means that ensure wide pre-implementation appraisal and approval.

With any new video or audio tour, sample scripts or ‘sound bites’ should be tested by visitors over a period to ensure, as far as possible, that they meet visitors’ expectations of a visit to the castles and other sites as well as promoting the team’s aspirations.

11.3 Monitoring

Once media are in place, then monitoring their use and / or success can be done in a variety of ways, often in conjunction with evaluation. For example, the following largely quantitative checks could be instituted:
• Maintaining accurate checks of questions asked – and the type of questioners – as well as of material issued and advice given at visitor centres where these are in place

• Maintaining accurate records of printed material distributed and replenished

• Maintaining accurate records of publications issued and / or sold, audio / video tours issued and / or sold, and comments made (many audio / video units now incorporate a comprehensive level of monitoring automatically, with feedback available on, for example, length of time used and areas of particular interest)

• Maintaining records of contact with members of the public expressing an interest in the castles by origin and profile of visitor

• Making observation of visitors’ use, behaviour, time spent etc when viewing interpretation at castles and other sites

• Making observation of visitors’ use of graphic and printed material.

• Maintaining records of numbers at selected times of visitors to castles and other sites and issuing appraisal sheets / questionnaires for completion.

• Maintaining records of number of visitors to castles other sites and special events.

• Making systematic counts of website hits

11.4 Evaluation

The more time-consuming and, therefore, costly, aspects of the work of appraising success are those that involve qualitative research, which can include:

• Face-to-face interviewing of visitors (and non-visitors) using (or not) the visitor centres, the external interpretation and / or attending events or guided walks / tours / trips

• Distribution of questionnaires for self-completion

• Use of focus groups, private and public meetings etc

• Analysis of questions asked and answered (or not) by visitors to visitor centres

• Analysis of unsolicited written communications by email, letter or otherwise

Some of this work may well be in progress at selected sites.

Few organisations undertake any or more limited monitoring and evaluation of interpretation and only occasional pre-testing. In a commercial environment, such appraisal of the potential success, as well as actual success, of a product would be undertaken as part of the overall marketing function. The same should be done by any organisation responsible for spending substantial sums on activities of public benefit. In the case of the castles and other sites, where the principal benefit is in securing revenue as part of overall economic viability, it will be important to evaluate the success of the results of new interpretive initiatives.

Pre-testing, monitoring and evaluation provides essential data and anecdotal material that informs the interpretive approach and guides it throughout its implementation over the years. It is particularly recommended that the Cadw dedicates appropriate time and resources to the evaluation process in order to determine those initiatives that are successful in developing and maintaining the audience for interpretation as a whole. This will help to ensure that resources can continue to be effectively targeted.
# 12 Appendix 1 – Those consulted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rick Turner</td>
<td>Cadw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Turvey</td>
<td>Historian and author</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Murphy</td>
<td>Dyfed Archaeological Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Austin</td>
<td>Dyfed Archaeological Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Marvell</td>
<td>Gwent Glamorgan Archaeological Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Maylan</td>
<td>Gwent Glamorgan Archaeological Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Cole</td>
<td>Capital Region Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Davies</td>
<td>South West Wales Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Falkner</td>
<td>The National Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Jones</td>
<td>Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Bennett</td>
<td>Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Clenton</td>
<td>Swansea City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sian Seabrooke</td>
<td>Swansea City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13 Appendix 2 – The historical context

Since the arrival, after the last Ice Age, of the first people in what is now Wales, the political history of the country – as far as it is known from more recent times – has been characterised by shifting alliances. This is as evident in the tussles for ascendancy among the early tribes as it is in the last days of the Princes of Deheubarth. It is not, of course, a peculiarly Welsh characteristic, it is one shared with peoples all round the globe.

However, in Wales, it was a particularly potent feature of the struggle for power during the rise – and demise – of the Welsh princes and throughout the hegemony of the Norman-English marcher lords. It was frequently a case of ‘my enemy’s enemy is my friend’ but this caused as much discord as harmony among ambitious leaders. However, apart from a few alarms and excursions during the brief period of civil war in the 17th century, and attacks by German bombers in the Second World War, Wales has been free from armed conflict for nearly 600 years.

To understand the complexities of medieval Wales, it’s essential to go back in time, first to when the Romans had arrived in what they called Britannia, a long island stretching north into the far seas on the edge of Europe and, importantly, on the periphery of the Roman Empire. It was their most distant frontier and has left Britain, including Wales, with a lasting legacy.

The Common Era was half a century old when the first Roman incursions into Wales began with defeats of the Deceangli on Deeside and the Silures in Gwent. Tacitus’s later account of the first of these, which took place in 47, was the first written record of an event in Wales. It took the might of the legions another 30 years before the country became a compliant part of the mighty Roman Empire. And so it was for over three hundred years, controlled from a series of forts in places that would become familiar in later conflicts – Chester, Caerleon, Carmarthen and Caernarfon. In 410, the Romans abandoned Britain in order to defend more important frontiers of their Empire.

13.1 The rise of the Welsh princes

Thereafter, for about 650 years, the people of Wales managed their own destiny but they were not untroubled by external forces. Once the Romans departed, leaving roads, other substantial physical evidence of their occupation, Latin script, and a few loan words, Wales entered what is erroneously called the ‘Dark Ages’, a sobriquet stemming largely from the lack of written (but not oral) records. It is thought that the concept of being a distinct group of Brythoniaid emerged at this time although the term Cymry, ‘compatriots’ or ‘our people’ was not used widely until much later. It was the Anglo-Saxons who called them Waelisc, or foreigners, and their lands as Wēalas.

By two hundred years after the Romans left, Britannia had begun to change fundamentally. Julius Caesar, using Gaul as his model, might have described it as Britannia in tres partes divisa est. The Angles, Saxons and Jutes occupied the east and south and the Gaels from Ireland embarked on their slow conquest of the north.Ireland, a land then of five provinces and many petty kingdoms, was partly subject to Norse rule during this period and both Viking and Irish invaders made brief incursions into Wales from time to time.

One of the most pervasive changes in Welsh society was the spread of Christianity largely by Irish monks. The Romans had introduced the rituals of the Roman Church but it was the
Celtic Church which held sway, particularly in Wales for nearly 300 years from around the year 500. Of the great leaders of Christianity in Wales, St David is clearly the foremost and, as the patron saint, he is now an integral part of the Welsh psyche whatever beliefs or none that people hold. The Welsh Church appears to have had less influence during the latter part of the early Middle Ages than its counterparts elsewhere in Britain but the proliferation of place names prefixed by Ilan are testimony to the many locations of monks’ cells or chapels across the country.

The Welsh kingdoms, or princedoms, became increasingly defined, as did those in the land of the Anglo-Saxons, and by around 700, Wales was divided, with frequently-changing affiliations, into Gwynedd in the north west, Seisyllwg and Dyfed in the south west (which became parts of Deheubarth), the former Roman stronghold of Morgannwg / Gwent in the south east and Brycheiniog and Powys in the centre and east. The powerful Saxon kingdom of Mercia rubbed uncomfortable shoulders with Powys and the equally powerful Wessex threatened from the south east.

The people in the Welsh princedoms lived in small communities in a land that was still substantially wooded despite felling for grazing and sowing over many millennia. There were no towns and, for most people, life was pastoral. Land was divided amongst sons on the death of the father but land ‘ownership’ was not a concept – it was occupation. However, this was not a period when records were kept, as they were to be under the Normans, and so little is really known about daily life in Wales.

13.2 The delineation of the early English March

Historian John Davies asserts that it was the western border of Mercia which determined the eastern border of Wales and that this boundary was at the expense (to Wales) of the agricultural lowlands in Powys. First came Wat’s Dyke to protect the northern area of Mercia’s settled territory and then, after about 785, the remarkable Offa's Dyke which, using long stretches of the Severn, effectively defined Wales as it is today. Its route was at least partly agreed between Offa and the Welsh princes of Powys and Gwent to leave the Welsh with lands traditionally associated with their princedoms, west of the rivers Severn and Wye.

Whether an increasing sense of Welshness, expediency, or simple love was the cause, a series of marriages in the ninth century, following the building of Offa’s Dyke, led to the unification of most of Wales under Rhodri ap Merfyn, later known as Rhodri Mawr, the Great. He was a contemporary of King Alfred of Wessex who ascended his throne in 871, the year Rhodri became ruler of Gwynedd, Powys and Seisyllwg, together forming the greater part of Wales. Part of the regard in which Rhodri was held stemmed from his victory in Anglesey over the Vikings who were settling lands in Scotland, Ireland and England, where they ruled everywhere that was not in Alfred’s control.

These Danish invaders made little impression on Wales but they certainly did in northern France where in 911 they took possession of what became known as Normandy. One hundred and fifty five years later they were to undertake their historic crossing of the English Channel and, one year after that, to make their first impact on Wales.

Rhodri Mawr was killed in battle with the English in 877. His lands were divided between his two sons, as was the Celtic custom, and the notional-unity of the nation was lost for 65 years. At this time, however, most of the Welsh princes were seeking the patronage of the powerful King Alfred who became, de facto, ‘overlord’ of Wales, as well as of England, a factor that was to play a part in years to come.

At this time too, around 900, the Celtic church in Wales finally accepted the practices of the Roman Church and this would lead, in time, to the building of the great abbeys.
13.3 The Princes of Deheubarth

Hywel the Good

In 930, Rhodri Mawr’s grandson, Hywel Dda, ruler of Seisyllwg, gained possession of Dyfed and Brycheiniog, two of the princedoms that had sought Alfred’s protection against the predatory notions of Gwynedd’s ruler. His new, enlarged, territory was known as Deheubarth and this enlarged princedom became, according to John Davies, ‘a unit of central importance in the history of Wales during the following four centuries’.

Only 12 years later, in 942, Hywel united Deheubarth with Gwynedd and Powys, lands that had belonged to another of Rhodri Mawr’s grandsons, and so Hywel had nominal control over all of Wales except Morgannwg whose rulers were also descended from Rhodri Mawr, in this case through his daughter Nest. For a second time in two generations, most of Wales was under the rule of one man.

Hywel, as far as can be deduced from scanty records, demonstrated the same aggression and thirst for blood as his Brythonic ancestors but he is credited, on limited evidence, as the man who codified the laws of Wales. For that, if for nothing else, he was accorded the title of ‘the Good’ and so Hywel Dda established his place in history not simply as a master of much of Wales but a wise law-giver. He also visited the English court on several occasions and made a pilgrimage to Rome.

It is suggested that the wise and the good met at Whitland, where the ruins of the later Abbey still stand, to consolidate and agree the Law of Wales. As Davies says, the law of any country is a powerful symbol of its identity, on a par with its language, and centuries later, the law of Hywel Dda was still in use. Welsh law differed from that of some other nations in that it was based upon ancient law with the principal objective of achieving reconciliation rather than on punishing wrongdoers. Dispensing justice in criminal cases lay largely in the hands of the local rulers.

Another important aspect of the law in Wales was the law of succession. Whereas primogeniture – the right of the first-born to inherit – was a characteristic of many cultures, among the Celtic peoples of Britain land and possessions were usually divided among male heirs on the death of their father. The effect of this on landholdings often meant that more and more people had less and less land.

However, among the ruling classes in Wales, conquest and marriage frequently re-united divided territory as was evidenced by, for example, the creation of Deheubarth and, later, of Hywel Dda’s extended empire. However, the choice of the first, or indeed any son, to rule after his father was not set in stone; it was often determined by the man’s suitability, rather than his relationship, although the throne was always kept within the greater family unit.

Within this rule, Hywel’s only son Owain inherited Deheubarth in 950 – but Gwynedd and Powys reverted to the line from Rhodri Mawr through his grandson Idwal. Glamorgan continued to remain as a separate princedom. Wales now had three princedoms at this point. In his turn, Owain’s son Maredudd, managed to recreate his grandfather’s extensive princedom but his short reign were not easy, not least because of persistent attacks by the Vikings from Ireland who, in the year of Maredudd’s death in 999, attacked St David’s.

Succeeding princes of Deheubarth came from different blood lines and little is known of them other than what can be deduced from the Brut y Tywysogion, the Chronicle of the Princes. These were troubled times in Wales until Maredudd ab Edwin restored Deheubarth to the line of Rhodri Mawr in 1033. His son, Llywelyn prince of Seisyll, then seized Gwynedd and Powys and, later, the remainder of Deheubarth in 1055.
Unity and disunity

A couple of years after that in 1057, his son Gruffudd ap Llywelyn united Wales when he captured Morgannwg (modern Glamorgan). For six years, until his death, Gruffudd was the first, and last, ruler of the whole of Wales. He had achieved this singular feat of kingship largely through force which had included battles with his English neighbours and his re-possession, after many centuries, of lands beyond Offa’s Dyke.

Sweet revenge for the Anglo-Saxons came in 1063. Harold Godwinson of Wessex defeated Gruffudd who was killed, according to the Brut, by his own men, although the Ulster Chronicle tells a different story. Harold, who claimed the throne of England on the death of Edward the Confessor in January 1066, had married the widow of Gruffudd ap Llywelyn (and granddaughter of Lady Godiva) who, therefore, was successively, queen of Wales and queen of England. She and her new husband were to enjoy this status for only nine months. After Harold despatched a Norwegian army at Stamford Bridge, he raced south only to lose his life while attempting to repulse his cousin William of Normandy who had sailed across the Channel to Hastings in October 1066 to launch the last successful military invasion of England.

What the Normans introduced, above all else, was a form of civil administration that remains to a large degree intact to this day. The feudal system quickly brought a new order to England (but less so in Wales and not for many years), the shire counties defined land boundaries (only later in Wales) and the law became an instrument of the state. In 1067, Wales was to feel the first effect of the Norman Conquest when the new English king created his marcher lords and William fitz Osbern began building the first castle at Chepstow.

The princes after the Norman Conquest

For more than 200 years after the Norman lords first set foot in Wales, the native princes continued to rule most of mid- and west Wales with the exception of Dyfed, part of which has been referred to, at least since the 16th century, as Anglia Transwalliana (Little) England beyond Wales. It might equally have been called Little Flanders as a result of the English king’s invitation to Flemish people to settle there. The princes and the marcher lords lived cheek by jowl and, for most of the time, aggression was less in evidence than tolerance and even co-operation. It was a time of more shifting alliances within and between the two camps.

The princes and the lords had, of course, a lot in common. They were, at least for the time being, the top dogs in their own backyards but their power was largely inherited or won, in the case of the princes, and largely granted, in the case of the lords. Both needed strongholds to defend their borders – and to be seen to be doing so. For these military and political reasons, as Roger Turvey cites them, the princes constructed their own monumental castles, albeit on the borrowed lines of their Norman neighbours. Among these are Carreg Cennen, Dinefwr and Dryslwyn, strongholds of the princes of Deheubarth, but also Cardigan, Cilgerran and Llandovery and Nevern.

If castles come, can abbeys be far behind? The princes, mindful both of their symbolism and their potential for ensuring an untroubled afterlife for their benefactors, endowed religious centres at such places as Cardigan, Llandovery, Talley and, most famous of all, Strata Florida which became the burial place of choice for many of later princes. These and other abbeys were established by four different orders of monks and one of nuns from France in exactly the same way as they did for their Norman patrons.
The Welsh princes and the new Norman lords were all aristocrats in their respective territories, but to the new rulers the princes were a lesser breed and in the years following the Battle of Hastings, they fought among themselves, with skirmishes resulting in many deaths. By 1081, peace was restored with Gruffudd ap Cynan acknowledged as Prince of Gwynedd and Rhys ap Tewdwr as Prince of Deheubarth.

When William I visited St David’s that year, in a political move that implied respect but showed power, he recognised the new Prince of Deheubarth who, according to the Domesday Book, paid to William an annual tribute of £40. Gruffudd was less fortunate and spent 12 years as prisoner of Hugh the Fat, Earl of Chester. Powys was under constant threat from Roger, Earl of Shrewsbury.

Although the principedoms had little future as independent entities, William was not interested in taking over Wales as a whole, although he claimed suzerainty over the country and annexed parts of it He left the management of the frontier to his barons who built their great castles along the frontier that William had created by pushing back the earlier boundaries into parts of Wales.

William Rufus succeeded his father in 1087 and everything changed a year later. Five years after that, Bernard of Neufmarché attacked Deheubarth and captured Brycheiniog where he began building a castle at Brecon, Rhys ap Tewdwr died in battle, in 1093, resisting him. Roger of Shrewsbury marched into Ceredigion and built his castle at Cardigan before going on to annex southern Dyfed. His son Arnulf annexed Penfro and built the great fortress of Pembroke Castle. Rhys’s oldest son was given refuge in Ireland but the youngest, Hywel, was imprisoned. It could have been the end of the Welsh princes because Glamorgan was also lost to the Normans at this time.

However, Wales was held uneasily by the invaders and it was always subject to incursion by the many disaffected Welsh who were sorely treated by what were clearly nervous marcher lords. By the time William II died in 1100, the principedoms were largely back in the hands of native leaders, partly as a result of uncoordinated but successful rebellions against the Normans and partly with the help of a Norse army led by King Magnus Barefoot who killed the Earl of Shrewsbury. Gruffudd ap Cynan now ruled Gwynedd while Cadwgan ap Bleddyn held Powys and the remains of Deheubarth.

13.4 ‘Welsh Wales’ defined

Despite their achievements, southern Dyfed, Glamorgan, Gwent and eastern Powys remained firmly in Norman hands. And this situation remained more or less unchanged until the depredations of Edward I extended English rule. There was no realistic chance of all Wales ever returning to Welsh rulers. The march was effectively established with a defined and largely stable boundary between Pura Wallia and Marchia Wallia which lasted for four hundred years.

As an important sidelight on the history of this period, Henry I invited colonists from various places to live in parts of Wales. Most importantly, a number of Flemish people under the leadership of Wizo, were given land in southern Dyfed. The Landsker Line marked the division between Welsh and Flemish speakers. At this time, too, boroughs became a feature of marcher southern Wales but most remained as small towns for reasons of geography, restricted fertile land and an overall small population. Most grew up round the new castles.

As the twelfth century, and the reign of Henry I progressed, so did the territorial ambitions of the Welsh princes. Three leaders emerged in the 1130s: Gruffudd ap Rhys ap Tewdwr in Deheubarth, Madog ap Maredudd in Powys and Gruffudd ap Cynan in Gwynedd who also became ruler of Ceredigion in 1137. It is said that he had been accorded the title king of
kings of Wales by Rhys ap Tewdwr but Gruffudd ap Cynan failed to prove it. This was the age of Geoffrey of Monmouth, a Breton Bishop of St Asaph, who wrote Historia Regum Britanniae. His history of the kings of Britain is, however, based at least in part on his own imaginings.

13.5 The Lord Rhys

Rhys ap Gruffudd, grandson of Rhys ap Tewdwr, was less than happy that the marcher lords had taken possession of much of his dynasty’s lands and had killed his mother Gwenllian and brothers in a battle outside Kidwelly. He campaigned against Henry II during the monarch’s unhappy time with, and then without, Thomas à Becket, and during his later invasion of Ireland with the support of some Welsh princes and Marcher Lords. However, Rhys reassembled much of the lost territory of Deheubarth.

During Henry’s eventual, successful attempt to secure the fealty of the Irish kings, Rhys was appointed as Henry’s deputy in south Wales – for such strange alliances characterise history – and his rights to Deheubarth were formally recognised. From 1172, Rhys was now in charge of many of his kinsmen and his primacy was underscored by an eisteddfod held at Cardigan Castle at his behest.

Around this time, however, it was Owain, the ruler of Gwynedd, with the support of Henry II, who claimed to be princeps Wallensium, prince of the Welsh. His son Dafydd preferred to be princeps Norwallia while Rhys ap Gruffudd was the prince of south Wales, princeps Sudwallia. They were now self-proclaimed major princes, rather than minor rulers of parts of Wales, but higher up the pecking order than Norman barons. They swore allegiance to the kings of England and increasingly used the new feudal system to reinforce their regained power.

It is another irony of this period that the flowering of the princedom of Deheubarth took place with the Normans at the door, even given Rhys’s position of strength. The Lord Rhys, as he became known, encouraged the arts through that first recorded eisteddfod in Cardigan and, at least as importantly, supported the church through his endowment of, among others, Cistercian monasteries.

And the minor rulers around him all followed suit. Many of the new abbeys were daughter houses of Whitland and Strata Florida, established by Norman lords although there were distinct differences between those founded by the princes and the lords. In these and many ways, not least in their building or extending of great castles, did the new prince of south Wales display his influence and his power.

Among Lord Rhys’s fortresses were those mentioned earlier: Cardigan, Gilgerran and Dinefwr and the first of these, the ‘stone and mortar’ fortress of Cardigan, became his principal seat, but it was from Dinefwr that he was master of all he could survey – and quite a bit beyond. His sons and grandsons built Dryslwyn and Carreg Cennen castles, along with others, to maintain their family’s control over their lands. He chose to be buried at St David’s but many other of the Welsh princes chose Strata Florida as their final resting place.

The wayward behaviour and rivalry of Lord Rhys’s sons caused him (and each other) much grief and his troubles increased when his concord with England’s king ended on the succession of Richard I to Henry II’s throne in 1188. Not many years later, Lord Rhys the much respected, and probably greatest, prince of Deheubarth, died in his sleep in 1197. The right of Gruffudd, his son and heir, to succeed his father was challenged by two other sons, Rhys Gryg and Maelgwn.
13.6 The demise of the princes

Maelgwn emerged as the winner with the support of King John who himself had succeeded his brother Richard. John, through marriage, became lord of Glamorgan, the most powerful of the marcher lordships, but gave Ceredigion to Maelgwn in return for his loyalty and the rights to Cardigan Castle. From then onwards, the authority of the princes of Deheubarth waned and, according to John Davies, they became pawns in the tussles between the princes of Gwynedd and the English king.

In 1200, Llywelyn ap Iorwerth became ruler of Gwynedd. He swore allegiance to King John and married John’s illegitimate daughter. In 1208, he took possession of much of Powys and drove Maelgwn from northern Ceredigion. He supported King John on his invasion of Scotland but, by 1211, John adjudged Llywelyn to be more an enemy than a friend. He invaded Gwynedd and greatly reduced Llywelyn’s power base. However, Llywelyn’s fortunes changed again when he was chosen by other Welsh princes as their leader. This was the time of Magna Carta, sealed in 1216, and Llywelyn took advantage of John’s struggles with his barons to take control of much of southern Wales including what had been Deheubarth.

This led to the Treaty of Worcester in 1218 which, according to John Davies, recognised Llywelyn’s pre-eminence in Wales and there was relative peace between him and his brother-in-law, King Henry III. This was a time of social change as the boroughs grew and trade expanded. The Welsh princes were becoming more like their Marcher counterparts and both groups became part of the wider tradition of lordship across Europe and religious life centred on Rome. When Llywelyn died in 1240, Henry III recognised his son as ruler of territory held by right but insisted on the lesser princes paying homage directly to him; these included the lords (they were no longer princes) of Deheubarth.

Yet another change occurred in 1258 when Llywelyn ap Gruffudd conquered all of Wallia Pura to become another prince of all ‘Welsh Wales’. King Henry had other worries which prevented his taking action and in 1267, by the Treaty of Montgomery, Llywelyn’s de facto position as Prince of Wales was confirmed through the Pipton Agreement in return for a substantial payment of nearly £20,000.

However, an exception to his hegemony was Maredudd ap Rhys of Dryslwyn who was exempt from paying homage to him – but he sold out in 1270. Llywelyn was now the de jure Prince of Wales and Wales, in effect, had become a Principality. It was later described as part of the empire of the king of England but not of the dominion of the kingdom of England, a nice distinction but an important one which had ramifications throughout later centuries.

Llywelyn was at the peak of his powers, but not without internecine problems, when he attacked the stronghold of the powerful Clare family at Caerphilly. In 1271, he was lord of most of Wales but not of most of its population and he was short of money and of any permanence of homage from the minor Welsh rulers. This may have been his motivation for marrying Elinor, a daughter of Simon de Montfort. Henry III clearly suspected his motives and imprisoned Elinor in Windsor. The next year the most far-reaching event of all, in many ways, took place.

Edward I became king of England in 1272 but was ‘out of the country’, crusading, until 1274. Llywelyn did not attend his coronation and disregarded many summonses to pay homage. He enlisted the help of the Pope but this increased the ire of Edward who declared him a rebel and marched into Wales. In the following year, 1277, by the Treaty of Aberconwy, Llywelyn lost much of his power and prestige but not, strangely, his title.
Edward now took control of Deheubarth and the rest of southern Wales through the acquiescence of the Welsh lords and, by 1282, Edward ruled all Wales despite rebellion and intrigue. He began to impose English law and other systems.

In a final gesture, Llywelyn’s head was sent to London as proof of the king’s success in quelling the ‘war’ of 1282-3. However, Maredudd ap Rhys must have affirmed his loyalty to Edward and survived that conflict; he was promised Dinefwr but never received it. He then rebelled in 1287 but the last portion of his lands was absorbed into those of marcher lords and the principedom of Deheubarth was effectively extinguished and, in time, even its name was forgotten by most people.

While the former ‘royal’ lines continued in Deheubarth and elsewhere, they were in name only and Wales became wholly subjugate to a ‘foreign’ power. In 1301, Edward bestowed the title ‘Prince of Wales’ on his eldest son and the practice by England’s, and later Britain’s, monarch has remained unchanged for over seven hundred years.

However, a scion of the princes of Deheubarth, Gwynedd and Powys was the great hero of Welsh nationhood, Owain Glyndŵr, descended from Rhodri Mawr, and led the Welsh – with considerable support from the English kings’ enemies – to initial success against the Henry IV in the early 15th century. However, his achievements became increasing sporadic before finally petering out and nothing more was heard of Glyndŵr after 1416. We say more in the section on the Lords of the Southern March.

13.7 The Lords of the Southern March

Nothing would ever be the same

The arrival of William the Conqueror’s new breed of ruler barons changed Wales forever, as their lord and master’s all-embracing supremacy left a lasting mark on much of the rest of Britain and Ireland. The Normans were a self-confident and extremely potent force who, by the time they arrived at Hastings, had centuries of successful invasion and colonisation behind them.

They were master mariners, highly trained soldiers and skilful administrators. Over time, although they integrated with the native population, their achievements of conquest and control stayed with them. In the case of Britain, much of what they instituted still remains in force nearly a millennium later, albeit adapted over the centuries. In Wales, the Welsh March, established by William I soon after the Battle of Hastings in 1066, lasted for 470 years until another celebrated English monarch, Henry VIII, remodelled the administration of Wales.

The continuing legacy of the frenetic period of invasion, subjugation and lengthy domination can still be seen in Wales in the great castles that remain and have become, to a large degree, a trademark of the country. In south Wales, Chepstow, Caerphilly, Kidwelly and Pembroke, for example, are major landmarks as well as important tourism and local economic drivers supported by many more castles, monasteries and other monuments.

The Norman lords, with their heritage in northern France where great abbeys and cathedrals were being established at the time of the Conquest, endowed a number of important religious sites within the marcher lands of south.

Keeping the Welsh at arm’s length

However, when he arrived, William I had no territorial ambitions about Wales. What he wanted was a frontier that could be defended to keep the troublesome Welsh princes at bay and, more importantly, disunited. To do this, he simply moved the existing eastern march
further west and created a new southern march. He then granted lands along the new border to his allies and kinsmen in return for their loyalty. Many also had lands in England and, in the early days, in Normandy. In the Welsh Marches, however, they were free from many of the obligations placed upon William’s new lords of the shires in England.

The marches were not regarded as part of England and the marcher lords were given a wide range of privileges that included the right to build castles, raise military forces, set up hunting forests, found boroughs and raise taxes. They were responsible for administering their lands, however, and maintaining order. Welsh law as codified many years before by Hywel Dda still prevailed although the lords put their own cast on it and were generally regarded as punitive masters.

They were also free to wage war on their neighbours from their strongholds along the marches if they wished. Fealty to the English king was measured, to a large degree, by their success in containing the Welsh princes within what became known as Wallia Pura. The new lords were, like their Welsh counterparts, princes in their own land. Many of them also had substantial lands in England, Scotland and France and, as a result, spent little time in Wales. They left the administration of their estates and the law to stewards or constables, some of whom, over time, were Welsh. It was a pragmatic solution which suited successive English kings as they strove to maintain England united under one rule.

Securing control

Although the impact of the marcher lords, under the orders of King William I, was immediate, it was not all-embracing. It was more than 200 years before the then English king, Edward I, could feel confident that the Welsh rulers were under his thumb. Even then, they slipped out from time to time. It was a time of shifting borders and alliances with revolution by the disaffected Welsh princes a constant threat. Even the marcher lords were not always ‘on side’ and their lands were repossessed and redistributed as the king thought fit.

In the first fifteen years of William’s supremacy, he had little to fear from the Welsh princes who had spent the time since the defeat of Gruffudd ap Llywelyn in 1063 (by Harold Godwinson, soon to be the short-lived King Harold) fighting among themselves. However, in 1081, Gruffudd ap Cynan was acknowledged as Prince of Gwynedd and Rhys ap Tewdwr as Prince of Deheubarth. King William paid a visit to St David’s later that year and endorsed Rhys’s position – on payment of an annual tribute – but Gruffudd was captured and imprisoned by one of William’s favourites, Hugh (the Fat) of Avranches, Earl of Chester.

That remarkable document of 1086, the Domesday Book, treated north Wales, as far west as the River Clwyd, as part of Hugh’s territory and Hugh’s cousin Robert, installed in Gwynedd, paid £40 a year to the king, a similar arrangement to that agreed with Rhys ap Tewdwr. At the same time, Roger, Earl of Shrewsbury began to take over much of Powys.

William Rufus, William II, was not able to sustain the patronage of Rhys ap Tewdwr who was killed when Bernard of Neufmarché captured Brycheinigog and the Normans began further territorial advances. Roger of Shrewsbury annexed Ceredigion, built Cardigan Castle at Aberteifi and marched on into Dyfed which was the ‘garden’ of south west Wales. Arnulf, heir to the Shrewsbury empire, took over the cantref of Penfro. Cantrefs were divisions of land under Welsh law. He built Pembroke Castle, one of the most imposing of Welsh citadels, and imprisoned the youngest son of Rhys ap Tewdwr.

At this time, Robert fitz Hamo, one of William’s henchmen in Gloucestershire, succeeded in taking possession of Glamorgan and the conquest of Wales seemed to be nearly complete. However, the Normans’ grip was tenuous in many areas, particularly in the uplands and the power of the marcher lords was not sufficient to ensure total dominance. Indeed, by 1100,
the Welsh had regained control of much of Wales with the help of a Norse army led by Magnus Barefoot. The Normans were driven out of Gwynedd, Ceredigion and much of Powys.

Their strongholds in southern and south west Wales remained impregnable, however, and the English kings, William II and his son Henry I, made greater efforts to sustain their marcher lords even if they could not conquer all the Welsh princedoms. This compromise led to the effective establishment, in 1105, of Welsh Wales (Pura Wallia) and Norman Wales (Marchia Wallia) and confirmed the march that lasted, more or less unchanged, for four hundred years.

Among the leading marcher lords in the two hundred years following the Norman Conquest were Roger Montgomery, Earl of Shrewsbury, scourge of Powys, William Marshall, Earl of Pembroke and Hugh de Burgh, Earl of Kent. Their titles indicate their wide landholdings. In the early days of the invasion, William fitz Osbern, Earl of Hereford a kinsman of William I, had been responsible for the earliest of the Norman fortresses, Chepstow Castle.

Consolidating authority

The marcher lords, with their wide-ranging powers, set about consolidating what began as timber baileys on earthen mottes. The age of castle-building in stone must have left the native people of Wales in awe at the scale and invincibility of the new citadels. Their fortresses were often matched by the harsh rule of the lords and their apparent disdain for their Welsh neighbours. The marcher lords also began to develop the manorial system of land tenure, already in use throughout most of England. This allowed people a number of rights such as those of turbage (cutting turf), pannage (forage for pigs) and loppage (cutting timber). However, it was not as clear cut as this suggests. Many Welsh people were happy to serve in the households of their Marcher lords and in their armies. Welsh leaders took advantage of local alliances with Marcher lords to further their own interests. It was only at the behest of Edward I that draconian measures followed his settlement of ‘Welsh Wales’.

There was, therefore, a pragmatic truce between lords and local people who were employed in the building of the fortresses, who tilled the land and practised most of the trades necessary for day-to-day living. Many held positions of minor responsibility. Expert masons were brought in to provide the necessary skills for tackling such huge building projects and other incomers were encouraged to relocate to Wales.

As the masonry castles were built on what were usually already imposing sites, boroughs were created around them and trade developed. The coast of Wales, with its many natural harbours, made the transport of goods and men relatively straightforward and many of the castles guarded the seaways and harbours. These included Chepstow, Kidwelly, Laugharne, Llansteffan and Pembroke, Great inland fortresses included those at Caerphilly, Cardiff (in part), Tretower and, later, Raglan.

At the behest of Henry I, foreigners were invited to settle within the lands of the marcher lords and possibly the most significant of these was a group of people from Flanders including Wizo the Fleming who set up home at Wiston in southern Dyfed, in what is now Pembrokeshire. Other Flemings were also granted land holdings. After a revolt by Robert, Earl of Shrewsbury, Henry had taken over Pembroke Castle and built Carmarthen Castle, to demonstrate his supreme lordship over Deheubarth, Welsh princes and Norman lords alike. He was keen to encourage pioneers to help ensure his control of these lands.

The Flemish retained their cultural separation for a time before slowly integrating with native Welsh. Their community, and others like it, were characteristic of the beginnings of feudal organisation which the Normans imported. People lived in the new boroughs which the
Normans created and were culturally very different from those of Brythonic stock who lived nearby. Society within the marcher lands was slowly forced to adopt English ways although the process was slow and never fully completed.

The Norman marcher lords were also called to arms to support their king. In 1171, Henry II invaded Ireland to bring the Irish kings under his control with the help of Norman lords and some Welsh princes. The Lordship of Pembroke, so close to Ireland, had to be held by someone loyal or it could become a significant threat to the crown. For that reason, the Lord Rhys was appointed as Henry’s deputy in Wales at this time and his rights to the principedom of Deheubarth were recognised in 1172. However, when Richard I succeeded Henry II, Lord Rhys lost his patronage and he died in 1197. King John soon ascended his brother’s throne and made his own incursions into Wales. However, he had trouble in his own backyard with the English barons who eventually forced the Magna Carta upon him in 1215, another seminal event in history.

The Welsh princes used this diversion of John’s attention to regain much of southern Wales and led to the Treaty of Worcester in 1218 which recognised Llywelyn ap Iorwerth as prince of Wales whose brother-in-law was Henry III. This was a time of further social change, the creation of new boroughs and a period of changing climate whose effect on the land affected the prosperity of many marcher lords.

More unrest among the princes broke out in the mid 13th century and Llywelyn ap Gruffudd conquered all of Wallia Pura to become yet another prince of all (Welsh) Wales. The English king, with other conflicts to distract him, confirmed Llywelyn’s position by the Treaty of Montgomery in 1267, in return for a sum of £20,000. Wales was, to all intents and purposes, a Principality (other than the lands of the marcher lords). It was described as part of the English king’s empire, but not of his dominion, a distinction that remained intact. Llywelyn, however, was unhappy with Gilbert de Clare’s annexing of Senghenydd and later attacked Caerphilly Castle in retaliation.

Gilbert de Clare had created his castle in Caerphilly at a time of increased Welsh threats to English rule and contrary to the Treaty of Montgomery. It was built in three years which is testament to the organising (and financial) powers of de Clare who was, at the time, one of the most powerful men in England. He completed his magnificent fortified palace in 1271 only to find it was more of a monument to him than an embattled stronghold because soon after it was finished, the Welsh rebellion under Llywelyn ap Gruffudd was defeated and its leader killed. The de Clares also partially built Castell Coch, Cardiff Castle and other fortresses.

Llywelyn was stripped of much of his power by the Treaty of Aberconwy in 1277 and, later under the rule of Edward I – who had finally turned to his ‘empire’ in Wales after years involved in the Crusades – he lost his head in a skirmish in 1282. Edward began imposing his will more firmly on Wales. He took control of Deheubarth and the remainder of southern Wales and the imposition of English law and administration began in earnest. The last rebellion in Deheubarth, led by Maredudd ap Rhys of Dryslwyn ended with his territory being absorbed into those of the marcher lords and Deheubarth was, effectively, no more.

In 1301, Edward bestowed the title of Prince of Wales on his eldest son, a practice continued to this day by, first, England’s and, latterly, by Britain’s monarchs. Wales was now very much a subject territory. It also had a growing population, a burgeoning lower middle class and considerably more trade. Fewer people depended upon the land for their living and education was becoming increasingly important. In a word, society was more sophisticated, the power of the church was growing and Wales was developing as a nation, but not a state, with many parallels in Europe.
The Norman lords, power-brokers for their king, and petty princes in their own right, included a number of leading families who were well to the fore in supporting their monarchs, and in particular Edward I, in his final conquest of Wales. In south Wales, these included Gilbert de Clare, Earl of Gloucester, Roger Bigod, Earl of Norfolk and William de Valence, Earl of Pembroke. They strengthened and extended their castles and lived a princely life, with their hunting grounds, guest lodges and ‘country houses’ to which they could escape from the formal duties as lords of their great estates.

As the Norman domination grew, the marcher lords created palaces within their castles, undertook lavish entertaining, endowed public buildings in the new towns and founded monasteries, some near these new boroughs. Monks from Northern France, mainly from the Cistercian and Premonstratensian orders, were invited to establish religious communities and build abbeys. The great Anglo-Norman monasteries became structural – and spiritual – landmarks across south Wales and the most important included those at Margam, Neath, St Dogmael’s and Tintern. St David’s, a Welsh monastery, became a Norman Cathedral,

The ‘last days of the empire’ in Wales’

After 1282, Wales was dominated by these marcher lords from the great families, but time played its part and, over the years, families like the de Clares, the Bigods and the Warennes died out. New dynasties moved in and continued the Anglicisation of much of Wales, for these were no longer Normans (despite their French names), they were the new English noble classes, the aristocracy which – in the case of many families – continues to this day. Names like Beauchamp, Despenser, fitz Alan and Mortimer became the power-wielders and power-brokers.

Although the domination of Wales by Edward I and his successors was absolute, it was managed with a progressively ruthless determination and, in a sense, the Welsh learned to live with the invaders who, as in Ireland, were becoming increasingly a part of the community, whatever powers they held over it. According to John Davies, Edward, by conferring the title of Prince of Wales on his son, did it as much as a gesture of recognition of Wales as a mark of suzerainty. Indigenous Welsh culture, as expressed through the words of the bards, continued unchanged and its innate Celtic vitality continues to this day.

Welsh archers served with distinction, not without problems of discipline, in English armies and many Welsh people held positions of authority. However, the prosperity of the country, the lords and the churches, and the vigour of the marcher lords, began to decline and the stirrings of Welsh self-determination were never far below the surface. In the second half of the 14th century, a new champion was identified in the person of Owain Glyndŵr, who claimed descent from the great ninth century leader, Rhodri Mawr, a scion of the houses of Gwynedd, Powys and Deheubarth. His grievances began with abuse of his family’s rights by an adjacent English lord. They were not resolved and more widespread skirmishes and alliances began from 1400. Owain then emerged as the leader of the disaffected Welsh.

His ambitions went beyond Wales and in 1403 he is said to have drawn up a plan with the Percy and Mortimer families. The Percies would get north and central England, the Mortimers southern England and Glyndŵr Wales and the English west midlands. It came to nothing, despite some initial successes, and by 1413 Owain Glyndŵr was a fugitive in the mountains of Wales. He is thought to have died in 1416. However, a hero he was then to the Welsh and as such he remains, one of the almost legendary and last of the Welsh princes.

Thereafter, not only did the aspirations for Welsh autonomy sink below the surface but so did the influence and authority, and status of the marcher lords. The Welsh gentry began for fill the vacuum and the Herbert family, in particular, rose to the fore, building their palatial castle
at Raglan to emphasise their rise to the top. Baron Herbert became the first Welshman to join the ranks of the English aristocracy, with a seat in parliament.

In the second half of the 15th century, the Tewdwr family, or Tudors, rose the prominence when Edmund, a half-brother of Henry VI of England, was created Earl of Richmond and married Margaret Beaufort, a Lancastrian heiress. Their son, born in 1457 just after his father’s death, succeeded to the English throne as Henry VII after Richard III was killed at Bosworth in 1485. It is an irony of history that a man, descended in from the great Llywelyn ap Iorwerth, should establish the Tudor dynasty in England. He made much of his links with ancient Welsh and British kings.

In 1536, around the time of his dissolution of the monasteries, Tudor’s son Henry VIII imposed his Act of Union of Wales, linking the countries for generations to come. In so doing, he finally extinguished the power of the marcher lords. However, this Act gave many more Welsh access to the English court, legal system and other perceived benefits and this led to a number of great Welsh landowning families becoming part of ‘the establishment’.
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15 Appendix 4 – Design sketches
A number of design sketches demonstrate an initial graphic approach.

Fig 1 Sample of interpretive panel
Fig 2  Samples of Interpretive map showing cover (top) and inside (above)
Fig 3  Sample of family tree for family packs and learning materials